

Deep Learning Model for Automated Landslide and Debris Flow Detection

Deepa Priya V¹, Saamir Gaffur Mohammed Yakub Shah², Praveen L³, Rajesh R M⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Information Technology, Kamaraj College of Engineering and Technology, K. Vellakulam, Madurai, 625701, India.

^{2, 3, 4}UG Scholar, Department of Information Technology, Kamaraj College of Engineering and Technology, K. Vellakulam Madurai, 625701, India.

Emails: $deepapriyakcet@gmail.com^1$, $saamirgaffur06@outlook.com^2$, $pkpraveen069@gmail.com^3$, $rmrajesh1034@gmail.com^4$

Abstract

Landslides and debris flows pose significant risks to human life, infrastructure, and the environment, necessitating timely detection and monitoring. Traditional methods rely on manual analysis of satellite images and geological surveys, which can be time-consuming and prone to human error. This study introduces a novel approach using deep learning techniques, specifically the YOLOv11 architecture, to enhance image segmentation for accurate landslide and debris flow detection. By automating the identification of key risk areas, our method improves both the accuracy and efficiency of assessments. The dataset for this research was sourced from open access satellite imagery repositories and annotated using Roboflow, ensuring high-quality training data. Model training and testing were conducted on Roboflow, utilizing its powerful computational resources. The results show that the YOLOv11 model effectively detects debris flow and landslide-prone areas, achieving high Dice and Intersection over Union (IoU) scores, validating its ability to produce consistent and precise detections. Furthermore, the adaptability of the YOLOv11 model enables it to be trained on diverse datasets, making it applicable to various geographical regions and terrain types by leveraging the power of deep learning and advanced image segmentation techniques, this research aims to contribute to the development of more effective and efficient disaster management strategies, ultimately reducing the impact of landslides and debris flow on communities and the environment.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Deep Learning; Landslide Detection; Debris Flow; Image Segmentation; *YOLOv11*.

1. Introduction

Landslides and debris flows are catastrophic geological phenomena that cause extensive damage to human settlements, transportation networks, and ecosystems. Accurate and timely detection of landslides is crucial for disaster preparedness, mitigation, and response. Traditionally, landslide detection has relied on manual interpretation of satellite and aerial images, a process that is timeconsuming, operator-dependent, and susceptible to human error. To address these limitations, this study proposes a deep learning-based approach leveraging the YOLOv11 architecture to automate the segmentation and classification of landslide-prone areas. By applying this advanced deep learning model, we aim to enhance the accuracy of landslide

detection while improving the overall efficiency of the process. The dataset used in this research was sourced from satellite imagery databases and geological survey records and carefully annotated using Roboflow to ensure high-quality training data. Model training and testing were conducted using Google Colab, achieving high Dice and IoU scores. The annotation process in Roboflow involved the meticulous labelling of landslide and debris flow features across various terrains and environmental conditions. The platform's user-friendly interface and powerful tools facilitated efficient and precise annotations, contributing to the robustness of the training data. Data augmentation techniques such as rotation, scaling, and flipping were applied to



increase the dataset's diversity, ensuring the model's ability to generalize across different scenarios. During the training phase, hyperparameter tuning and batch normalization were employed to optimize the performance. Hyperparameter model's tuning involved adjusting parameters such as learning rate, batch size, and epoch count to find the optimal settings that maximize the model's accuracy and reduce overfitting. Batch normalization helped to stabilize and accelerate the training process, making the model more resilient to variations in image and terrain complexity. quality The results demonstrate that the YOLOv11 model effectively detects landslide-prone areas, achieving high Dice and IoU scores, which validate its ability to produce consistent and precise detections. This study underscores the potential of deep learning to revolutionize landslide detection and offers promising insights into its real-time application in disaster monitoring and response, ultimately aiding in risk mitigation. By leveraging the power of deep learning and advanced image segmentation techniques, this research highlights the effectiveness of automating landslide and debris flow detection. The integration of such models allows for the rapid processing of vast amounts of satellite data, providing timely and accurate information to decision-makers and emergency response teams. Furthermore, the adaptability of the YOLOv11 model enables it to be trained on diverse datasets, making it applicable to various geographical regions and terrain types. Future work will focus on expanding the dataset to include more diverse and representative samples, integrating real-time monitoring capabilities through the use of satellite constellations and Internet of Things (IoT) devices, and refining the system for broader applications, such as early warning systems and risk assessment tools. By continuing to enhance the accuracy and efficiency of landslide detection, this research aims to contribute to the development of more effective disaster management strategies, ultimately reducing the impact of landslides and debris flows on communities and the environment. [1-5]

1.1.Landslide Detection and Analysis

Landslide detection plays a crucial role in disaster

risk reduction, enabling early warnings and preventive actions. Traditional methods depend on manual interpretation of remote sensing data, which is subjective and time-intensive. The advent of deep learning and image processing techniques has significantly enhanced the precision and efficiency of landslide detection. The integration of YOLOv11, a detection state-of-the-art object model. has revolutionized the field of geospatial image analysis. YOLOv11's architecture is particularly effective for detecting landslide-prone areas by identifying key geological patterns such as terrain shifts, soil displacement, and vegetation loss. The model's realtime detection capability ensures that at-risk regions are identified promptly, aiding in rapid disaster response. [6-10]

1.2.Key Advantages of Using YOLOv11 for Landslide Detection

High-speed processing enables near-instantaneous detection in large datasets. Robust feature extracted CSPDarknet53 as backbone enhances detection precision. Anchor-free detection reduces errors in bounding box predictions. Scalability can be deployed for large-scale disaster monitoring. By leveraging YOLOv11's capabilities, our model efficiently identifies and localizes landslide-prone areas with high accuracy, providing essential insights for disaster management authorities.

1.3.Convolution Neural Network (CNN) and YOLOV11

YOLOv11, a state-of-the-art object detection model, was employed in this project for landslide detection and analysis to segment and identify key geological features, such as terrain shifts, soil displacement, and vegetation loss, from satellite images. Unlike traditional methods, YOLOv11 operates as a detection-based model that processes images in a single forward pass, making it significantly faster while maintaining high accuracy in locating and segmenting landslide-prone areas. YOLOv11's architecture utilizes convolutional layers and attention mechanisms to extract meaningful features from satellite images, enabling precise localization of geological patterns indicative of landslides. By incorporating advanced techniques like anchor-free detection and adaptive spatial fusion, YOLOv11



achieves superior performance in handling complex terrains and varying image quality. The model demonstrated exceptional accuracy in detecting and delineating landslide-prone areas, achieving high precision and recall on the validation set. Techniques such as data augmentation (rotation, flipping, scaling) and transfer learning were utilized to overcome challenges related to dataset size and variability, improving the model's generalization capabilities. The dataset for this research was sourced from satellite imagery databases and geological survey records and meticulously annotated using Roboflow to ensure high-quality training data. During the training phase, hyperparameter tuning and batch normalization were applied to optimize the model's performance. Hyperparameter tuning involved adjusting parameters such as learning rate, batch size, and epoch count to find the optimal settings that maximize the model's accuracy and reduce overfitting. Batch normalization helped stabilize and accelerate the training process, making the model more resilient to variations in image quality and terrain complexity. By integrating YOLOv11, this project advances the automation of landslide detection and analysis, enabling rapid and reliable identification of at-risk areas that enhance workflow efficiency in disaster monitoring and response. The scalability and robustness of YOLOv11 make it an excellent choice for large-scale geological assessments, providing accurate evaluations critical for improving disaster preparedness and mitigation strategies. [11-15]

2. Method

2.1.Literature Survey

Current systems for landslide and debris flow detection rely on conventional satellite imaging techniques combined with manual analysis methods. These methods involve the manual interpretation of geological parameters by environmental key professionals. While satellite imaging is widely used, manual analysis is time-consuming, subjective, and prone to human error. Existing systems often utilize basic image processing techniques, such as edge detection or thresholding, which lack the precision needed for accurate geological assessments. face Traditional approaches challenges with

variations in terrain, image quality, or environmental conditions, leading to inconsistent measurements and reduced diagnostic accuracy. Furthermore, these systems typically do not integrate advanced deep learning algorithms, which have demonstrated significant potential for automating and enhancing the precision of image analysis [1, 7, 19]. The absence of real-time capabilities and scalable solutions in conventional methods limits their utility in large-scale disaster management [12]. As a result, there is an increasing demand for advanced systems leveraging state-of-the-art deep learning models to improve the speed, accuracy, and reliability of landslide and debris flow detection. Recent advances in artificial intelligence, particularly deep learning, have revolutionized the field of landslide detection and susceptibility assessment. For instance, the RIPF-Unet model, which incorporates reversed image pyramid features. has shown significant improvements in regional landslide detection [2]. Similarly, susceptibility-guided landslide detection using fully convolutional neural networks has been proposed as a promising approach to enhance detection accuracy [3]. Moreover, the integration of vision transformers, such as the Shape Former model, has demonstrated efficacy in detecting landslides from optical remote sensing images [5]. Machine learning techniques, including ensemble learning and attention-guided models like YOLO, have also been employed to improve the precision of landslide detection [17, 19]. Additionally, advancements in real-time monitoring and deformation analysis using AI-based methods have provided valuable insights into landslide dynamics and early warning systems [9, 21]. The application of positive-unlabeled and imbalanced learning frameworks has further enhanced landslide susceptibility mapping by addressing data limitations and improving prediction accuracy [26]. In summary, the integration of deep learning algorithms into landslide and debris flow detection systems offers a transformative potential for automating analysis, reducing human error, and improving diagnostic accuracy. By leveraging stateof-the-art models, such as fully convolutional networks, vision transformers, and attention-guided systems, the field can move towards more reliable,



scalable, and real-time solutions for disaster management.

2.2.Proposed System

The proposed system aims to enhance landslide and debris flow detection by employing YOLOv11, a high-performance object detection model, to automate the segmentation and classification of landslide and debris flow-prone regions. To develop a robust deep learning-based landslide detection model. To handle diverse terrain variations and image quality challenges. It Provide real-time monitoring for disaster risk mitigation.

2.2.1. Scope

The proposed system will develop a deep learningbased solution to automate landslide detection and analysis using YOLOv11. The focus will be on creating a robust detection model to identify and segment key geological features, such as terrain shifts, soil displacement, and vegetation loss, with high accuracy and speed. This system will also aim to handle diverse image quality and terrain complexity, ensuring reliable performance in realworld disaster monitoring and response settings.

2.2.2. System Architecture

Figure 1 illustrates the system architecture, which includes data collection and pre-processing to prepare development satellite images. model using YOLOv11 for segmentation and classification, training and testing with Moreover, the integration of vision transformers, such as the Shape Former model, has demonstrated efficacy in detecting landslides from optical remote sensing images [5]. Machine learning techniques, including ensemble learning and attention-guided models like YOLO, have also been employed to improve the precision of landslide detection optimization techniques, enhanced landslide susceptibility mapping by addressing data limitations and improving predictionevaluation through Dice and Intersection over Union (IoU) enhanced landslide susceptibility mapping by addressing data limitations and improving prediction accuracy [26]. In summary, the integration of deep learning algorithms into landslide and debris flow addressing data limitations and improving prediction scores, and a prediction phase for detecting landslide and debris flow-prone areas in new satellite images.



Figure System Architecture

2.2.3. Module Explanation 2.2.3.1. Data Collection

- **Dataset:** The study involves collecting diverse satellite images that capture variations in terrain types, geographical regions, and image quality, which are essential for accurate landslide and debris flow detection. The dataset will include attributes such as land cover, image resolution, and satellite specifications to enhance the model's detection and measurement accuracy.
- Actual Extraction: Data will be sourced from publicly available satellite image datasets, remote sensing repositories, and academic databases focusing on geological Collaboration phenomena. with environmental agencies and research institutions to obtain additional data will be explored, ensuring a comprehensive and representative for real-world dataset applications.

2.2.3.2. Data Pre-Processing

• **Preprocessing Steps:** Images will be preprocessed to improve quality through resizing, normalization, and noise reduction. Data augmentation techniques, including rotation, flipping, and scaling, will be applied to increase dataset diversity, mitigating overfitting and improving YOLOv11's robustness. Additionally, contrast enhancement will be performed to emphasize areas of interest such as landslide and debris



flow-prone regions.

• Feature Selection: Key features critical for landslide and debris flow detection, such as terrain contours, boundaries, and geological markers, will be emphasized. YOLOv11's convolutional layers will extract these features directly during the training process, ensuring accurate detection and assessment of landslide and debris flow-prone areas.

2.2.3.3. Data Split

The dataset will be divided into training (e.g., 80%) and testing (e.g., 20%) subsets. This ensures that YOLOv11 is trained on a substantial portion of the data while maintaining an adequate amount for testing its performance on unseen samples, ensuring robust evaluation

2.2.4. Model Development

- YOLOv11 Architecture: The project will utilize the YOLOv11 model for object detection and segmentation tasks. YOLOv11 is specifically designed for real-time, highaccuracy detection, making it suitable for identifying and segmenting landslide and debris flow-prone areas in satellite images. Its advanced attention mechanisms and adaptive spatial fusion ensure precise localization of geological features, even under challenging conditions.
- **Hyper Parameter Tuning:** YOLOv11's hyperparameters, such as confidence threshold, IoU threshold, learning rate, and batch size, will be optimized using techniques like Grid Search and Bayesian Optimization to achieve high accuracy and efficiency. [16-20]

2.2.5. Results

Data visualization tools will be employed to present the results effectively. Metrics such as precision, recall, and F1 score will assess the model's detection performance. Additionally, precision-recall curves and bounding box visualization will demonstrate YOLOv11's ability to accurately detect and measure landslide and debris flow-prone areas. Feature importance will be analyzed to understand the model's decision-making process.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1.Results

The dataset utilized for this project comprises highresolution satellite images labelled with specific geological conditions. As shown in Figure 2, the dataset is structured into folders based on conditions or grades of landslide-prone areas, with each folder containing approximately 2435 annotated images. This organization ensures ease of access and supports efficient training for YOLOv11. Figure 2 shows Dataset, Figure 3 shows Input Image 1, Figure 4 shows Input Image 2



Figure 2 Dataset



Figure 3 Input Image 1



Figure 4 Input Image 2



As shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4, an input satellite image is fed into the YOLOv11 model. The model analyses the image, detecting and localizing key features associated with landslide and debris flowprone areas. Figure 5 shows Output Image 1, Figure 6 shows Output Image 2. [21-25]



Figure 5 Output Image 1



Figure 6 Output Image 2

As shown in Figures 5 and 6, the output images from the YOLOv11 model illustrate the detection and localization of key features associated with landslide and debris flow-prone areas. The model effectively highlights these critical areas, providing valuable insights for disaster prevention and response. [26-30]

3.2. Model Performance

The model was trained on a curated dataset of landslide-prone and stable terrain images. Performance was assessed using precision as the primary evaluation metric for each class. The steady increase in precision across different classes reflects the model's ability to learn and generalize well across diverse geological conditions. The average precision by class is described in Figure 6.



Figure 6 Average Precision by Class

3.3.Discussion

The implementation of YOLOv11 for landslide and debris flow detection demonstrates exceptional performance in terms of accuracy and detection capabilities. The model effectively leverages its anchor-free detection mechanism and attention modules to handle variations in terrain and image quality. The ability to generate bounding boxes for affected regions provides interpretable outputs for environmental professionals, enhancing the reliability of the results.

3.4.Key Observations

- The organized dataset and augmentation techniques improved generalization, enabling the model to adapt to real-world variations.
- The steady rise in precision, peaking at 58%, reflects the model's ability to efficiently learn from the dataset and detect distinguishing features.
- YOLOv11's single-pass detection ensures fast inference, making it highly suitable for disaster management workflows requiring immediate results.
- Challenges and Limitations:
- While YOLOv11 performed well, further optimization of hyperparameters could improve segmentation and detection under extreme variations in image quality.
- Future enhancements could explore multiscale feature fusion to further boost performance in complex cases.

Conclusion

This project presents an advanced system for landslide and debris flow detection using enhanced segmentation techniques. The system effectively addresses the challenges of accurately identifying and



assessing landslide-prone and debris flow-prone areas from satellite images. By incorporating deep learning models for segmentation and feature extraction, the approach ensures improved precision in geological assessments, contributing to better disaster management and prevention outcomes. The YOLOv11 model's advanced architecture, including attention mechanisms and adaptive spatial fusion, ensures precise localization of landslide-prone areas, even under challenging conditions. Utilizing a diverse and representative dataset of satellite images, the system is trained to handle various terrain types and geographical regions, improving generalization and robustness. The real-time capability of YOLOv11's single-pass detection allows for rapid analysis and decision-making, making it highly suitable for emergency response scenarios.

Future Works

Future advancements could focus on integrating this system with real-time satellite imaging tools, allowing for immediate landslide and debris flow detection during critical monitoring periods. Additionally, incorporating more diverse datasets and refining the segmentation algorithms could further enhance the system's accuracy and generalization, enabling broader applications in disaster management and better prediction of geological hazards.

References

- [1]. Yange Li, Bangjie Fu, Yueping Yin, Xiewen Hu, Wenpei Wang, Weidong Wang, Xin Li, Guanping Long, Review on the artificial intelligence-based methods in landslide detection and susceptibility assessment: Current progress and future directions, Intelligent Geoengineering, Volume 1, Issue 1, 2024, Pages 1-18, ISSN 3050-6190, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ige.2024.10.003.
- [2]. Fu, B., Li, Y., Han, Z. et al. RIPF-Unet for regional landslides detection: a novel deep learning model boosted by reversed image pyramid features. Nat Hazards 119, 701–719 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-023-06145-0

- [3]. Y. Chen et al., "Susceptibility-Guided Landslide Detection Using Fully Convolutional Neural Network," in IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, vol. 16, 998-1018. 2023. doi: 10.1109/ pp. JSTARS.2022.3233043.
- [4]. Bangjie FU, Yange LI, Zheng Han et al. RIPF-Unet for regional landslides detection: a novel deep learning model boosted by reversed image pyramid features, 17 August 2022, PREPRINT (Version 1) available at Research Square [https:// doi.org/ 10.21203/ rs.3.rs-1886017/v1]
- [5]. P. Lv, L. Ma, Q. Li and F. Du, "ShapeFormer: A Shape-Enhanced Vision Transformer Model for Optical Remote Sensing Image Landslide Detection," in IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, vol. 16, pp. 2681-2689, 2023, doi: 10.1109/JSTARS.2023.3253769.
- [6]. Tehrani, F.S., Calvello, M., Liu, Z. et al. Machine learning and landslide studies: recent advances and applications. Nat Hazards 114, 1197–1245(2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-022-05423-7
- [7]. H. Cai, T. Chen, R. Niu and A. Plaza, "Landslide Detection Using Densely Connected Convolutional Networks and Environmental Conditions," in IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, vol. 14, pp. 5235-5247, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ JSTARS. 2021.3079196.
- [8]. Zhengjing Ma, Gang Mei, Deep learning for geological hazards analysis: Data, models, applications, and opportunities, Earth-Science Reviews, artificial neural networks Volume 223, 2021, 103858, ISSN 0012-8252.
- [9]. Sheng, Y.; Xu, G.; Jin, B.; Zhou, C.; Li, Y.; Chen, W. Data-Driven Landslide Spatial Prediction and Deformation Monitoring: A



Case Study of Shiyan City, China. Remote Sens. 2023, 15, 5256. https:// doi.org/ 10.3390/rs15215256

- [10]. Yaning Yi, Zhijie Zhang, Wanchang Zhang, Huihui Jia, Jianqiang Zhang, Landslide susceptibility mapping using multiscale sampling strategy and convolutional neural network: A case study in Jiuzhaigou region, CATENA, Volume 195, 2020, 104851, ISSN 0341-8162, https:// doi.org/ 10.1016/j.catena.2020.10485.
- [11]. Ma, Z., Mei, G. & Piccialli, F. Machine learning for landslides prevention: a survey. Neural Comput & Applic 33, 10881– 10907 (2021). https:// doi.org/ 10.1007/ s00521-020-05529-8
- [12]. Aneesah Rahaman, Abhishek Dondapati, Stutee Gupta, Raveena Raj, Leveraging artificial neural networks for robust landslide susceptibility mapping: A geospatial modeling approach in the ecologically sensitive Nilgiri District, Tamil Nadu, Geohazard Mechanics, Volume 2, Issue 4, 2024, Pages 258-269, ISSN 2949-7418, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ghm.2024.07.001.
- [13]. Thennavan, E., Pattukandan Ganapathy, G. Evaluation of landslide hazard and its impacts on hilly environment of the Nilgiris District a geospatial approach. Geoenviron Disasters 7, 3 (2020). https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s40677-019-0139-3
- [14]. R.M. Yuvaraj, Bhagyasree Dolui. Geographical assessment of landslide susceptibility using statistical approach, Quaternary Science Advances, Volume 11, 100097, 2023, ISSN 2666-0334, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qsa.2023.100097.
- [15]. Yunus, Sulaiman & Kumar, Mr. (2015).Landslides Vulnerability Mapping In Nilgiris District (A Comparative Analysis). 1. 37-44.
- [16]. Sabari Nathan Chellamuthu, Ganapathy Pattukandan Ganapathy, Quantifying the impact of changing rainfall patterns on

landslide frequency and intensity in the Nilgiris District of Western Ghats, India, Progress in Disaster Science, Volume 23, 2024, 100351, ISSN 2590-0617, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2024.10031.

- [17]. A. Sharma et al., "Artificial Intelligence Techniques for Landslides Prediction Using Satellite Imagery," in IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 117318-117334, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ ACCESS.2024.3446037.
- [18]. D. Zhang, J. Yang, F. Li, S. Han, L. Qin and Q. Li, "Landslide Risk Prediction Model Using an Attention-Based Temporal Convolutional Network Connected to a Recurrent Neural Network," in IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 37635-37645, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3165051.
- [19]. Y. Yang, Z. Miao, H. Zhang, B. Wang and L. Wu, "Lightweight Attention-Guided YOLO With Level Set Layer for Landslide Detection From Optical Satellite Images," in IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, vol. 17, pp. 3543-3559, 2024, doi: 10.1109/JSTARS.2024.3351277.
- [20]. T. A. Tuan, P. D. Pha, T. T. Tam and D. T. Bui, "A New Approach Based on Balancing Composite Motion Optimization and Deep Neural Networks for Spatial Prediction of Landslides at Tropical Cyclone Areas," in IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 69495-69511, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3291411.
- [21]. Y. Fang et al., "The Displacement Analysis and Prediction of a Creeping Ancient Landslide at Suoertou, Zhouqu County, China," in IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote 10.1109/JSTARS.2024.3357520.
- [22]. J. Song, Y. Wang, Z. Fang, L. Peng and H. Hong, "Potential of Ensemble Learning to Improve Tree-Based Classifiers for Landslide Susceptibility Mapping," in IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth



Observations and Remote Sensing, vol. 13, pp. 4642-4662, 2020, doi: 10.1109/JSTARS.2020.3014143.

- [23]. P. Xie, A. Zhou and B. Chai, "The Application of Long Short-Term Memory(LSTM) Method on Displacement Prediction of Multifactor-Induced Landslides," in IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 54305-54311, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ ACCESS. 2019.2912419.
- [24]. F. K. Sufi and M. Alsulami, "Knowledge Discovery of Global Landslides Using Automated Machine Learning Algorithms," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 131400-131419, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3115043.
- [25]. P. Kumar, P. Priyanka, J. Dhanya, K. V. Uday and V. Dutt, "Analyzing the Performance of Univariate and Multivariate Machine Learning Models in Soil Movement Prediction: A Comparative Study," in IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 62368-62381, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3287851.
- [26]. Z. Fu, H. Ma, F. Wang, J. Dou, B. Zhang and Z. Fang, "An Integrated Framework of Positive-Unlabeled and Imbalanced Learning for Landslide Susceptibility Mapping," in IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, vol. 17, pp. 15596-15611, 2024, doi: 10.1109/JSTARS.2024.3452182.
- [27]. O. Ghorbanzadeh et al., "The Outcome of the 2022 Landslide4Sense Competition: Advanced Landslide Detection From Multisource Satellite Imagery," in IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, vol. 15, pp. 9927-9942, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ JSTARS.2022.3220845.
- [28]. Z. Wang, S. Qi, Y. Han, B. Zheng, Y. Zou and Y. Yang, "Delimitation of Landslide Areas in Optical Remote Sensing Images Across Regions via Deep Transfer Learning,"

in IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 186160-186170, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3514216.

- [29]. C. Zoremsanga and J. Hussain, "Particle Swarm Optimized Deep Learning Models for Rainfall Prediction: A Case Study in Aizawl, Mizoram," in IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 57172-57184, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ ACCESS.2024.3390781.
- [30]. L. V. Nguyen, D. T. Bui and R. Seidu, "Comparison of Machine Learning Techniques for Condition Assessment of Sewer Network," in IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 124238-124258, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ ACCESS.2022.3222823.