International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering Hub (IRJAEH)
e ISSN: 2584-2137
Vol. 04 Issue: 04 April 2026
Page No: 2231-2241
https://irjaeh.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEH.2026.0299

AI-Driven Candidate Evaluation and Screening System for Intelligent Recruitment

Sarthak Bhandari[1], Dr. Anuradha Misra[2]
[1,2]Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Amity University, Lucknow, India.
Emails: *sarthak.bhandari1@s.amity.edu[1], amisra@lko.amity.edu[2]*

Abstract
Thousands of job applications must be analyzed throughout recruitment processes in contemporary businesses, which makes manual screening ineffective and biased. Artificial Intelligence (AI) provides automated solutions that enhance candidate evaluation's accuracy, efficiency, and fairness. In order to automatically assess resumes, match skills with job descriptions, and rank candidates, this paper proposes an AI-Driven Candidate Evaluation and Screening System that makes use of Natural Language Processing (NLP), Machine Learning (ML), and predictive analytics. To forecast candidate suitability, the suggested methodology combines resume parsing, feature extraction, similarity computation, and classification models. Improved screening effectiveness and shorter recruitment times are shown via experimental evaluation. The solution offers businesses sophisticated and scalable hiring assistance.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Recruitment Automation, Resume Screening, Machine Learning, Natural Language Processing, Talent Analytics.*

1. Introduction

Because employee quality has a direct impact on productivity, innovation, and overall business performance, recruitment is one of the most important procedures in any firm. Manual applicant screening is quite challenging in the digital age because companies receive thousands of applications for a single post. Professionals in human resources (HR) are responsible for reviewing resumes, assessing qualifications, and selecting qualified applicants for interviews. This manual procedure takes a long time and frequently results in biases and inefficiencies. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has revolutionized a number of industries by facilitating intelligent data processing and automated decision-making. Large amounts of unstructured data can be analyzed by AI technologies like machine learning (ML), natural language processing (NLP), and predictive analytics to find significant patterns. Because of these features, AI is very helpful in hiring systems that need to process and analyze job descriptions, cover letters, and resumes quickly. Conventional hiring methods primarily rely on manual assessment or keyword matching. Highly qualified candidates may be overlooked by such methods, which frequently fall short of capturing the deeper semantic significance of candidate profiles. Furthermore, unjust hiring judgments may result from human biases during resume screening.AI-based candidate evaluation systems have been suggested as a solution to these problems. In order to produce appropriateness scores, these systems automatically examine candidate data, extract pertinent experience and skills, and compare them with job requirements. By doing this, AI technologies reduce manual labor while helping recruiters find the most qualified applicants. The increasing digitization of job applications and the growth of online recruitment platforms have caused a significant shift in the recruitment environment in recent years. Candidates from all over the world now submit applications to organizations via corporate career pages, professional networking sites, and online job portals. Although this growth has made talent more accessible, recruiters now face a great deal of difficulty in analyzing and assessing the vast amount of candidate data. Natural Language Processing

(NLP) is one of the main technologies that make AI-driven hiring systems possible. Because resumes and job descriptions are usually written in natural language, it is challenging to process them using conventional data mining methods. NLP makes it possible for computers to comprehend, decipher, and extract valuable information from textual documents. Artificial intelligence (AI) systems are able to determine candidate talents, experience, educational qualifications, and other critical traits by using techniques like tokenization, semantic analysis, and entity recognition. By learning from past recruiting data, machine learning models improve recruitment processes even further. In order to assess new applicants, these models can find patterns linked to successful individuals. For instance, the model can give preference to similar individuals throughout the screening process if historical hiring data indicates that candidates with particular technical skills and work experience perform better in particular areas. Organizations are able to make better hiring decisions because to this data-driven approach. Scalability is a major benefit of AI-driven hiring systems. The number of job applications rises sharply as businesses expand. This kind of scale is too much for manual screening procedures to handle effectively. However, AI systems can evaluate hundreds of applications in a matter of seconds, freeing up recruiters to concentrate on more complex decision-making processes like candidate engagement and interviews. AI-based hiring systems have many advantages, but there are also a number of difficulties. Careful consideration must be given to ethical issues such algorithmic bias, transparency, and data privacy. Machine learning models may inadvertently reproduce biases in employment recommendations if they are trained on biased historical data. As a result, it's critical to create hiring systems with transparent review processes and algorithms that consider fairness. An important step toward the future of human resource management is the creation of intelligent recruitment systems. By integrating artificial intelligence into the hiring process, firms can improve efficiency, enhance candidate evaluation accuracy, and create more objective and data-driven recruitment procedures. In this study, we offer an AI-Driven Candidate Evaluation and Screening System that automates resume analysis and candidate evaluation by combining machine learning, natural language processing, and intelligent ranking methods. The goal of the suggested system is to help recruiters find the best applicants fast and effectively while upholding equity and openness in the hiring procedure. In conclusion, the necessity for intelligent and automated hiring solutions is highlighted by the growing number of job applications and the shortcomings of conventional recruitment techniques [1-5]. Artificial Intelligence offers strong tools that can alter the recruitment process by enabling rapid resume processing, objective candidate evaluation, and data-driven decision making. AI-based hiring solutions can greatly minimize human labor while enhancing the precision and equity of applicant screening by combining machine learning and natural language processing methods Shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1 **Flowchart of System**

Inspired by these prospects and problems, this study suggests an AI-Driven Candidate Evaluation and Screening System that ranks candidates according to job requirements and processes resumes automatically. The goal of the suggested framework is to help recruiters find the best applicants quickly while preserving hiring process scalability and transparency. The rest of this document is structured as follows: Related work in AI-based recruitment systems is covered in Section II, the system architecture is presented in Section III, the suggested methodology is described in Section IV, the experimental setup is explained in Section V, results and analysis are discussed in Section VI, and future research directions are discussed in Section VII. It is clear that incorporating artificial intelligence into employment systems has the potential to drastically change conventional hiring procedures. Artificial intelligence (AI) solutions can help firms manage high application volumes while preserving consistency and efficiency in the selection process by automating resume screening and candidate evaluation. Utilizing machine learning and natural language processing techniques, the proposed AI-Driven Candidate Evaluation and Screening System seeks to evaluate candidate profiles, match them with job requirements, and produce intelligent rankings that assist recruiters in making decisions. The technology helps to a more effective, scalable, and data-driven recruitment framework appropriate for contemporary organizational needs by lowering manual burden and facilitating more objective candidate assessment [6-10].

2. Background and Related Work

The growing application of artificial intelligence in human resource management has drawn a lot of attention to recruitment automation in recent years. The application of machine learning algorithms to enhance candidate selection and recruitment effectiveness has been the subject of numerous studies. Resumes were filtered using pre-established keywords in early rule-based recruitment systems. The context and semantic links seen in resumes were difficult for these computers to comprehend. Therefore, if their resumes did not contain exact keyword matches, qualified individuals might be passed over. Researchers started using classification algorithms including Support Vector Machines, Decision Trees, and Logistic Regression for candidate evaluation as machine learning techniques advanced. These models use past data to estimate candidate appropriateness by analyzing structured information taken from resumes and use past hiring data to forecast candidate appropriateness. Recruitment automation has also benefited greatly from natural language processing. Systems can process unstructured textual data like cover letters, job descriptions, and resumes thanks to NLP approaches. Methods like named entity recognition, tokenization, and part-of-speech tagging aid in the extraction of crucial data, such as work experience, education, and skill sets. Deep learning techniques for recruitment analysis have been the subject of recent research. Complex semantic links between candidate qualifications and job needs can be captured by transformer-based models and neural networks. More precise job matching and candidate ranking are made possible by these algorithms. AI-based talent acquisition solutions have already been implemented by a number of recruitment sites. By recommending applicants, forecasting the likelihood of job success, and automating interview scheduling, these tools support HR personnel. However, issues like data privacy, openness, and justice continue to be crucial factors in employment systems powered by AI [11-15].

3. System Architecture

Several parts of the AI-Driven Candidate Evaluation and Screening System collaborate to assess job appropriateness and analyze candidate data. The following modules are part of the overall system architecture:

- Module for Gathering Data
- Module for Processing Resumes
- Module for Feature Extraction
- Module for Candidate Evaluation
- Module for Ranking and Suggestions
- Interactive Recruiter

Resumes and job descriptions are first gathered by the system from organizational databases or recruitment portals. After that, natural language processing methods are applied to these documents in order to extract pertinent data. Candidate skills, educational background, certifications, work experience, and technical competence are all included in the information that was extracted. Candidate appropriateness is then assessed by applying machine learning techniques to these features. Recruiters are presented with the results via an intuitive interface in the final module, which evaluates candidates based on how well they fit the job description. The suggested AI-Driven Candidate Evaluation and Screening System's architecture is made to effectively handle massive amounts of candidate data and automatically assess candidates in accordance

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering Hub (IRJAEH)
e ISSN: 2584-2137
Vol. 04 Issue: 04 April 2026
Page No: 2231-2241
https://irjaeh.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEH.2026.0299

with job specifications. The system's architecture is modular, with various parts carrying out distinct functions like data collection, text processing, feature extraction, machine learning assessment, and candidate rating. Scalability, adaptability, and simplicity of integration with current recruitment platforms are guaranteed by this modular design. The data acquisition layer, resume processing layer, feature extraction layer, candidate evaluation layer, ranking and recommendation layer, and user interface layer are the six main layers that make up the architecture. Every layer helps turn unprocessed candidate data into insightful information that helps recruiters make decisions [16-20].

3.1. Data Acquisition Layer

The Data Acquisition Layer is in charge of gathering job descriptions and candidate data from several sources. Online employment portals, corporate career websites, professional networking sites, and internal organizational databases are a few examples of these sources. Resumes, cover letters, and application forms provided during the hiring process are usually considered candidate data. The system first transforms resumes into machine-readable textual information because resumes can be supplied in a variety of formats, including PDF, DOCX, and plain text. This conversion guarantees that the resumes' data can be efficiently handled and examined by the system. Job descriptions are also gathered and kept in the system. The necessary abilities, experience levels, work duties, and educational requirements are all covered in these descriptions. Job descriptions are used as a point of reference.

3.2. Resume Processing Layer

Candidate data is prepared for analysis by the Resume Processing Layer. Unstructured text with various headings, layouts, and formatting styles is frequently found on resumes. Preprocessing is therefore required in order to standardize the content. The preprocessing phase carries out a number of tasks, such as:

- Elimination of superfluous symbols and formatting components
- Text conversion to lowercase for consistency
- Tokenization of words and sentences
- Elimination of stop words that don't add anything important
- Textual data normalization

This phase guarantees that resume data is organized and prepared feature extraction

3.3. Feature Extraction Layer

Finding pertinent candidate attributes from the processed resumes is a crucial function of the feature extraction layer. Structured information is extracted from unstructured text using natural language processing algorithms. During this phase, significant candidate traits are extracted, such as:

- Programming languages and technical abilities
- Degrees and credentials for education
- Job positions and work experience
- Training courses and certifications
- Project accomplishments and experience

Semantic analysis and Named Entity Recognition (NER), t wo sophisticated NLP algorithms, aid in locating significant entities and connections in resumes [21-25].

3.4. Candidate Evaluation Layer

The core part of the system is the Candidate Evaluation Layer. This layer assesses candidates' suitability for particular job roles using machine learning algorithms. The algorithm determines compatibility scores by comparing candidate attributes with job criteria. Several criteria are taken into account during the appraisal process, including:

- Candidate and job description skill matching
- Work experience's relevance
- Qualifications for education
- domain proficiency

To find trends linked to successful recruiting decisions, machine learning models are trained using past recruitment dataBased on a candidate's credentials and experience, these models can forecast how well they will match a certain job role

3.5. Ranking and Recommendation Layer

The Ranking and Recommendation Layer groups candidates based on how well-suited they are for the position after candidate scores are determined. The candidates at the top of the list have the highest compatibility scores. Without having to go through each CV by hand, recruiters can swiftly find the most qualified applicants thanks to this ranking system.

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering Hub (IRJAEH)
e ISSN: 2584-2137
Vol. 04 Issue: 04 April 2026
Page No: 2231-2241
https://irjaeh.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEH.2026.0299

more information including skill gaps, candidate strengths, and suggestions for more assessment may also be provided by the system. The rating system guarantees that the hiring procedure becomes more impartial and effective.

3.6. Recruiter Interface Layer

The Recruiter Interface, the system's last layer, offers HR professionals an interactive platform to view candidate ranks and ratings. Dashboards showing applicant scores, skill comparisons, and filtering choices are usually part of the UI. The UI allows recruiters to:

- Examine the lists of ranking candidates.
- Sort applicants according to particular standards.
- Examine the talent profiles of candidates.
- Make a shortlist of candidates for interviews

The interface guarantees that recruiters take advantage of A Ibased analysis while continuing to be actively involved in the ultimate decision-making process.

4. Methodology

4.1. Problem Formulation

Let the recruitment dataset be defined as

$$D = \{(R_i, J_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^{N}$$

where:

- R_i represents the resume of candidate i
- J_i represents the job description
- $y_i \in \{0,1\}$ represents the ground-truth suitability label (1 = suitable, 0 = unsuitable)
- N is the total number of candidate-job pairs?

The objective of the system is to learn a scoring function

$$f_\theta(R_i, J_i) \rightarrow s_i$$

where:

- f_θ is a parameterized model with parameters θ
- s_i is the predicted candidate suitability score.

The learning objective is to minimize the expected classification loss:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{(R,J,y)\sim D}[\,\ell(f_\theta(R,J), y)\,]$$

Where $\ell(\cdot)$ is the cross-entropy loss function.

4.2. Resume and Job Description Representation

Resumes and job descriptions are represented as textual documents composed of sequences of tokens. Let a resume be represented as:

$$R = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n\}$$

and the job description as:

$$J = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\}$$

Where w_i and v_j are tokens.

Each token is mapped into a continuous vector space using word embeddings:

$$x_i = \phi(w_i), z_j = \phi(v_j)$$

where:

$\phi(\cdot)$ is an embedding function (e.g., Word2Vec, GloVe, or transformer embeddings). The textual representations of resumes and job descriptions are then aggregated into document vectors:

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

$$\mathbf{j} = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m} z_j$$

These vectors capture the semantic representation of candidate profiles and job requirements.

4.3. Feature Extraction using NLP

To enhance candidate representation, structured features are extracted using NLP techniques such as Named Entity Recognition (NER) and semantic parsing. Let the extracted feature vector for a candidate be defined as:

$$F_i = [s_i, e_i, c_i, p_i]$$

where:

- s_i = skill feature vector
- e_i = education features
- c_i = certification features
- p_i = project or experience features.

The final candidate representation becomes

$$\mathbf{x_i} = [\mathbf{r_i}, F_i]$$

which combines semantic embeddings and structured attributes.

4.4. Candidate–Job Similarity Computation

Candidate suitability is determined by computing the similarity between the candidate feature vector and job requirement vector. One common similarity measure used is cosine similarity:

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering Hub (IRJAEH)
e ISSN: 2584-2137
Vol. 04 Issue: 04 April 2026
Page No: 2231-2241
https://irjaeh.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEH.2026.0299

$$Sim(R_i, J_i) = \frac{\mathbf{r_i} \cdot \mathbf{j_i}}{\| \mathbf{r_i} \| \| \mathbf{j_i} \|}$$

where:

- $Sim(R_i, J_i)$ measures semantic alignment between resume and job description.

Alternatively, weighted similarity can be computed:

$$S_i = \alpha S_{skills} + \beta S_{experience} + \gamma S_{education}$$

where:

- α, β, γ are weighting coefficients
- S_i represents the final candidate matching score.

4.5. Machine Learning Candidate Evaluation

A supervised learning model is trained to predict candidate suitability. Let the feature vector be:

$$X_i = [\mathbf{x_i}, \mathbf{j_i}, Sim(R_i, J_i)]$$

The classification model predicts the probability of candidate suitability:

$$P(y_i = 1 \mid X_i) = \sigma(w^T X_i + b)$$

where:

- w is the weight vector
- b is the bias term
- σ is the sigmoid function.

For logistic regression:

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

The model is trained by minimizing cross-entropy loss:

$$L = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} [y_i \log(p_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - p_i)]$$

4.6. Candidate Ranking Optimization

After predicting candidate suitability scores, the system ranks candidates according to:

$$Rank(C_i) = sort(s_i)$$

where candidates with higher scores appear earlier in the ranked list. For ranking optimization, pairwise ranking loss can be applied:

$$L_{rank} = \sum_{(i,j)} \max(0, 1 - (s_i - s_j))$$

where candidate i should rank higher than candidate j

This ensures that more suitable candidates receive higher rankings.

4.7. System Output and Decision Support

The final output of the system is a ranked candidate list:

$$\mathcal{R} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$$

ordered by decreasing suitability score. Recruiters can then review the top-ranked candidates, analyze skill gaps, and make final hiring decisions using the interactive recruitment dashboard.

5. Experimental Setup

5.1. Dataset Description

A dataset comprising job descriptions and candidate resumes was utilized to verify the suggested recruitment framework. The collection includes example hiring datasets frequently used for research as well as resumes gathered from publicly accessible recruitment sources. A candidate's educational background, technical skills, work experience, certifications, and project experience are all included in each resume. The job description dataset includes comprehensive details on employment roles, such as necessary education, experience, abilities, and duties. Candidate resumes are assessed using these job descriptions as reference profiles.

5.2. Data Preprocessing

Resumes from candidates are usually sent in a variety of formats, including plain text, Word documents, and PDFs. These documents frequently include headings, special characters, uneven formatting, and other material that could obstruct automatic analysis. In order to normalize the resume data prior to analysis, a preprocessing was put in place.

5.3. Feature Representation

Following preprocessing, the resumes must be transformed from textual data into numerical representations so that machine learning algorithms can process them. Text vectorization algorithms are used to convert textual data from job descriptions and resumes into feature vectors. Candidate abilities, educational background, years of job experience, certifications, and project engagement are all significant characteristics taken from resumes. These characteristics offer important insights into the candidate's skills and experience. The feature representation includes structural data like years of experience and number of certifications in addition to textual elements. The system creates a thorough picture of every candidate profile by merging textual and structured data. The machine learning models that evaluate and classify candidates are then fed the resultant feature vectors.

5.4. Model Configuration

A number of machine learning algorithms were used and evaluated in order to assess the efficacy of the suggested candidate screening method. These models were chosen due to their excellent performance in textual and structured data categorization tasks. Logistic regression, Random Forest, Support Vector Machines, and Gradient Boosting classifiers are among the models employed in the experiment. To guarantee a fair comparison of each model's performance, the same feature representation was used during training. To maximize each model's performance, hyperparameter optimization was done. Validation data was used to modify parameters including the maximum depth of decision trees, the number of trees in ensemble models, and the regularization intensity in linear models. Finding the model that offers the optimal trade-off between computational efficiency and forecast accuracy was the aim of this setup process.

5.5. Training Procedure

The machine learning algorithms discover patterns that link candidate characteristics to job appropriateness during the training stage. Candidate profiles and labels indicating the candidate's suitability for the position are included in the training dataset. The candidate feature vectors and their matching labels are fed into the machine learning model during the training phase. In order to reduce classification mistakes, the model then modifies its internal parameters. The system can discover connections between candidate qualifications and job needs thanks to this procedure. Cross-validation methods were used to guarantee trustworthy training outcomes. The training dataset is divided into several subsets using cross-validation, and the model is trained on various combinations of these subsets. This procedure enhances the model's capacity for generalization and lowers the possibility of overfitting.

5.6. System Implementation Environment

Modern data science and machine learning methods were used to create the suggested recruitment system. Because of its broad support for machine learning and natural language processing frameworks, the Python programming language was used for the implementation.

5.7. Evaluation Metrics

The efficacy of the suggested candidate screening system was assessed using a number of evaluation indicators. These metrics shed light on how well the machine learning models perform in categorization. The model's overall accuracy in finding qualified applicants was assessed using accuracy. The percentage of applicants that were accurately recognized as suitable out of all those who were expected to be suitable was measured using precision. Recall assessed the system's capacity to find all qualified applicants who actually fit the job specifications. Additionally, the F1 score was employed as a balanced statistic that takes memory and precision into account. A thorough grasp of the model's performance can be obtained by utilizing a variety of evaluation metrics.

5.8. Experimental Workflow

There are multiple steps in the entire experimental approach. Resume materials are first gathered and transformed into writing. The textual data is then cleaned and standardized using preprocessing techniques. Candidate attributes are then found and transformed into numerical representations using feature extraction techniques. The machine learning models are trained using the processed feature vectors and accompanying labels. Following training, the models' performance is assessed using the testing dataset. Ultimately, candidate scores are produced and candidates are evaluated based on how well-suited they are for the position. This process guarantees a comprehensive assessment of the suggested AI-powered hiring system in practical hiring situations.

6. Results and Discussion

6.1. Model Performance Comparison

To find the optimum model for candidate categorization, a number of machine learning methods were assessed. Logistic regression, Random Forest, Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Gradient Boosting are among the algorithms examined. To guarantee a fair comparison, these models were trained using the same dataset and

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering Hub (IRJAEH)
e ISSN: 2584-2137
Vol. 04 Issue: 04 April 2026
Page No: 2231-2241
https://irjaeh.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEH.2026.0299

feature representation. Four important criteria were used to assess these models' performance: accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. These measurements shed light on the system's capacity to accurately identify qualified applicants and steer clear of inaccurate forecasts.

Table 1 Comparing Machine Learning Model Performance

Model	Accuracy (%)	Precision	Recall	F1-score
Logistic Regression	86.4	0.84	0.82	0.83
Support Vector Machine	88.7	0.87	0.85	0.86
Random Forest	91.2	0.90	0.89	0.89
Gradient Boosting	93.5	0.92	0.91	0.91

Table 1 shows that out of all the models that were assessed, the Gradient Boosting model had the highest accuracy. The model performed well on every evaluation criteria, demonstrating its efficacy in finding qualified applicants. Because Random Forest can handle complex feature interactions and nonlinear correlations in the dataset, it also performed well. Because logistic regression implies linear correlations between characteristics and target variables, it performed relatively poorly. It still yielded respectable results, though, and provided a helpful foundation for comparison.

6.2. Candidate Screening Efficiency

The efficiency of the recruitment system was assessed by contrasting the time needed for manual resume screening with the suggested AI-based approach, in addition to classification accuracy.

Table 2 Screening Time Comparison

Screening Method	Average Time for 100 Resumes
Manual Screening	3–4 hours
AI-Based Screening System	5–10 seconds

The AI-based approach significantly increases screening efficiency, as shown by the results in Table 2. The suggested approach can handle hundreds of resumes in a matter of seconds, while manual resume screening takes many hours to evaluate a significant number of applications. Organizations can expedite their hiring procedures and more efficiently distribute human resources thanks to this decrease in screening time.

6.3. Feature Importance Analysis

Finding the candidate traits that most influence the evaluation process is a crucial component of AI-driven recruitment systems. To ascertain the relative relevance of various candidate attributes in determining job appropriateness, feature importance analysis was carried out Shown in Table 3.

Table 3 The Significance of Features in Candidate Assessment

Feature	Importance Score
Technical Skills	0,34
Work Experience	0,27
Educational Qualification	0,18
Certifications	0,12
Project Experience	0.09

According to the findings, technical skills have the biggest impact on how candidates are evaluated. Given that many employment roles demand particular technical competencies, this finding is predicted. Candidate fit is also heavily influenced by work experience, especially for senior roles. While project experience aids in demonstrating the actual application of abilities, educational credentials and certificates offer more proof of a candidate's knowledge.

6.4. Candidate Ranking Evaluation

Candidates are ranked based on their appropriateness scores in the last phase of the hiring process. Without having to go through each resume by hand, the ranking mechanism guarantees that recruiters can swiftly find the most qualified candidates. Candidate appropriateness ratings were computed and used to

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering Hub (IRJAEH)
e ISSN: 2584-2137
Vol. 04 Issue: 04 April 2026
Page No: 2231-2241
https://irjaeh.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEH.2026.0299

create ranked candidate lists in order to assess the ranking system. The top-ranked applicants usually demonstrated a strong fit between the job requirements and their qualifications. The following benefits were shown by the ranking system:

- Effective selection of qualified applicants
- decrease in the workload of recruiters
- Increased uniformity in the assessment of candidates
- Quicker interview shortlisting

These findings show that the suggested AI-powered hiring approach offers a useful way to rank applicants.

Conclusion and Future Work

Traditional recruitment techniques are becoming time-consuming and ineffective due to the growing volume of job applications that firms receive. Recruiters must put in a lot of work when manually screening resumes, which frequently results in inconsistent candidate evaluations. This study suggested an AI-Driven Candidate Evaluation and Screening System that automates resume analysis and finds qualified applicants for certain job positions in order to address these issues. In order to match candidate profiles with job descriptions and extract pertinent information from resumes, the suggested method combines machine learning and natural language processing algorithms. The system can automatically assess candidate qualities, including technical abilities, educational background, work experience, certifications, and project involvement, by converting unstructured résumé data into structured features. In order to produce candidate appropriateness scores and rank applicants according to their relevance to the job criteria, these features are further examined using machine learning models. The results of the experiments show that the suggested system greatly increases candidate evaluation accuracy and recruiting efficiency. The system's machine learning models successfully identified qualified individuals who performed well on a variety of evaluation metrics. Additionally, the time needed to examine a large number of resumes was significantly decreased by the automated screening procedure. The suggested approach can review hundreds of applications in a matter of seconds, whereas human screening can take several hours. This enhancement frees recruiters from time-consuming administrative duties to concentrate more on strategic decision-making and applicant engagement. The ability of the suggested framework to offer consistent and impartial candidate evaluation is another significant benefit. The system lessens the possibility of prejudice in the early phases of hiring by depending on data-driven algorithms rather than human judgment. Additionally, the system's modular architecture makes it simple to integrate with enterprises' current applicant tracking and recruitment platforms. Notwithstanding these benefits, the suggested method can still be enhanced in a number of ways. The current system's primary focus on textual resume analysis is one of its limitations. Resumes offer useful details about a candidate's capabilities, but they could not adequately convey other crucial elements like personality qualities, communication abilities, and organizational culture fit. Therefore, in order to have a more thorough picture of candidate capabilities, future recruitment systems should include new data sources. For more precise CV and job description matching, future research can expand the suggested system by using sophisticated deep learning models such transformer-based architectures. These models may further enhance the system's capacity to comprehend complex candidate data, since they have shown impressive performance in natural language understanding challenges. Using multimodal candidate evaluation methods is another intriguing avenue for future research. For instance, in addition to resumes, recruitment systems might examine behavioral exams, code evaluations, and video interviews. The system could produce more thorough and dependable candidate evaluations by integrating several evaluation sources. Lastly, future systems might include mechanisms for continuous learning, which would enable the model to get better over time in response to recruiting results and recruiter input. The technology might adjust to shifting industry trends and job needs by learning from actual hiring decisions. To sum up, the suggested AI-Driven Candidate Evaluation and Screening System shows how artificial intelligence might revolutionize conventional hiring procedures. The technology

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering Hub (IRJAEH)
e ISSN: 2584-2137
Vol. 04 Issue: 04 April 2026
Page No: 2231-2241
https://irjaeh.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEH.2026.0299

offers an effective, scalable, and data-driven solution for contemporary talent acquisition by automating resume analysis and prospect evaluation. Future employment procedures will be more efficient and equitable thanks to ongoing developments in artificial intelligence and machine learning, which will also improve the capabilities of intelligent recruitment systems.

References

[1]. S. Russell and P. Norvig, Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach, 3rd ed. Pearson, 2016.
[2]. T. Mitchell, Machine Learning. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, 1997.
[3]. I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, Deep Learning. Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 2016.
[4]. C. D. Manning, P. Raghavan, and H. Schütze, Introduction to Information Retrieval. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2008.
[5]. J. Brownlee, Machine Learning Mastery with Python. Machine Learning Mastery, 2016.
[6]. R. Feldman and J. Sanger, The Text Mining Handbook: Advanced Approaches in Analyzing Unstructured Data. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2007.
[7]. T. Mikolov, I. Sutskever, K. Chen, G. Corrado, and J. Dean, "Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality," in Proc. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2013, pp. 3111–3119.
[8]. A. Vaswani et al., "Attention is all you need," in Proc. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2017, pp. 5998–6008.
[9]. J. Devlin, M. W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding," in Proc. NAACL-HLT, 2019, pp. 4171–4186.
[10]. Y. Goldberg, "A primer on neural network models for natural language processing," J. Artificial Intelligence Research, vol. 57, pp. 345–420, 2016.
[11]. G. Salton and C. Buckley, "Term-weighting approaches in automatic text retrieval," Information Processing and Management, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 513–523, 1988.
[12]. J. Leskovec, A. Rajaraman, and J. Ullman, Mining of Massive Datasets. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014.
[13]. D. Jurafsky and J. H. Martin, Speech and Language Processing, 2nd ed. Pearson, 2009.
[14]. S. Bird, E. Klein, and E. Loper, Natural Language Processing with Python. O'Reilly Media, 2009.
[15]. K. Kowsari et al., "Text classification algorithms: A survey," Information, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1–68, 2019.
[16]. R. Bogen and A. Rieke, "Help wanted: An examination of hiring algorithms, equity, and bias," Upturn Report, 2018.
[17]. J. Chamorro-Premuzic, T. Winsborough, R. Sherman, and D. Hogan, "New talent signals: Shiny new objects or a brave new world?" Industrial and Organizational Psychology, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 621–640, 2016.
[18]. L. Cappelli, P. Tambe, and V. Yakubovich, "Artificial intelligence in human resources management," J. Management, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 1231–1250, 2018.
[19]. M. L. van Esch and J. Mente, "Marketing video-enabled social media as part of your e-recruitment strategy," Journal of Business Research, vol. 116, pp. 332–340, 2020.
[20]. P. Stone et al., "Artificial intelligence and life in 2030," Stanford Univ., Stanford, CA, USA, AI100 Report, 2016.
[21]. F. Chollet, Deep Learning with Python. Manning Publications, 2018.
[22]. A. Géron, Hands-On Machine Learning with Scikit-Learn, Keras, and TensorFlow. O'Reilly Media, 2019.
[23]. D. Marr, Artificial Intelligence: A Guide for Thinking Humans. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2021.
[24]. M. Tursunbayeva, C. Di Lauro, and G. Pagliari, "People analytics: A scoping review of conceptual boundaries and value propositions," Int. J. Information Management, vol. 43, pp. 224–247, 2018.
[25]. B. B. K. Singh and R. Gupta, "AI-based recruitment system for resume classification

and candidate ranking," International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 178, no. 40, pp. 1–7, 2019.