International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering Hub (IRJAEH)
e ISSN: 2584-2137
Vol. 03 Issue: 11 November 2025
Page No: 4124-4128
https://irjaeh.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEH.2025.0604

ChatGPT in the Classroom: A New Era of AI-Driven Education

Vanitaben Hasmukhbhai Suthar[1], Vanitaben Pragneshkumar Mistry[2], Dr. Swity Maniyar[3]
[1]PhD Scholar – Swaminarayan University, Kalol, Gujarat.
[2]Assistant Professor, BCA Department- Sardar Vallabhbhai Global University, Ahmedabad, Gujarat.
[3]Phd Guide– Swaminarayan University, Kalol, Gujarat.
Emails: *vanitasuthar15184@gmail.com[1], vanitamistry@svgu.ac.in[2], sweetymaniar@gmail.com[3]*

Abstract
Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a transformative force in various sectors, with education standing as one of the most promising fields for its application. Among the AI tools emerging for educational purposes, ChatGPT—an advanced conversational agent developed by OpenAI—has shown significant promise. This paper explores the potential of ChatGPT as an AI-driven virtual teaching assistant, a personalized learning companion, and an interactive tool for engagement in classrooms. By examining the strengths, challenges, and future trajectory of ChatGPT's integration in education, this paper provides a comprehensive review of its capabilities, discusses its impact on teaching and learning, and evaluates the ethical concerns surrounding its deployment.

Keywords: *ChatGPT, AI in Education, Virtual Teaching Assistant, Personalized Learning, Interactive Learning, Classroom Technology, Artificial Intelligence, Education Technology*

1. Introduction
Education today is undergoing a profound transformation driven by rapid technological advancements, with Artificial Intelligence (AI) playing a pivotal role in reshaping teaching and learning processes. AI applications such as automated grading systems and adaptive learning environments are increasingly becoming integral to modern classrooms. Among these innovations, ChatGPT—an AI-powered conversational model developed by OpenAI—has emerged as a valuable educational tool, capable of functioning as a virtual teaching assistant that enhances personalized learning and supports educators in managing diverse learning needs. Given the persistent challenges in education, including large student populations and limited individualized instruction, AI systems like ChatGPT offer promising solutions. They provide continuous academic assistance, deliver instant feedback, and tailor learning materials to suit individual learners. This paper investigates the role of ChatGPT in addressing these educational challenges by analyzing its applications, advantages, and limitations. Furthermore, it explores how integrating ChatGPT into educational settings could redefine instructional strategies and improve student engagement in the digital age. [1]

2. Literature Review
2.1. Early AI Tools in Education
The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into education can be traced back to the emergence of Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) during the 1980s. Early ITS models, such as *Cognitive Tutor*, were designed to provide individualized instruction, particularly in subjects like mathematics and science. These systems utilized rule-based algorithms to deliver structured feedback and guide students through problem-solving tasks. However, they lacked flexibility, as they were unable to process complex, open-ended questions or accommodate varied learning styles. Despite these limitations, ITS established a foundation for the development of more advanced, adaptive AI-driven educational tools (Woolf, 2009).

Evolution of AI-Based Learning Tools
With the progression of AI research, particularly in the area of Natural Language Processing (NLP),

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering Hub (IRJAEH)
e ISSN: 2584-2137
Vol. 03 Issue: 11 November 2025
Page No: 4124-4128
https://irjaeh.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEH.2025.0604

educational technologies have gained significant capability and sophistication. NLP-based systems such as Siri, Alexa, and more recently, ChatGPT, can interpret and generate human-like text, allowing for interactive and conversational learning experiences. According to Johnson et al. (2024), AI-powered chatbots enhance student engagement by enabling continuous, on-demand learning and providing immediate feedback. These systems have proven especially valuable in online education environments, where consistent teacher interaction can be limited. [2]

2.2. ChatGPT and Personalized Learning

ChatGPT stands apart from traditional e-learning platforms by promoting an interactive, dialogue-based learning environment. Students can engage with the AI at their own pace, pose follow-up questions, and receive tailored explanations that align with their level of understanding. This capability to offer step-by-step reasoning and adaptive feedback makes ChatGPT particularly effective in technical domains such as mathematics and computer science. For example, a student struggling with coding might ask ChatGPT to identify an error, and the system can diagnose the issue while offering practical corrective strategies. Such personalization encourages self-directed learning and deeper comprehension (Chen & Xu, 2023). [3]

2.3. Ethical Considerations and Challenges

Although AI tools bring numerous benefits to education, they also raise ethical and practical concerns. One significant issue involves potential bias in AI-generated responses, often stemming from unbalanced or flawed training data. This can result in inaccurate or skewed information, especially in subjects requiring interpretation or multiple perspectives, such as history or social studies. Additionally, the use of AI in educational contexts introduces privacy risks due to the collection and processing of student data. Educational institutions must ensure compliance with data protection regulations such as the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) to protect student privacy and maintain institutional trust (Seldon & Abidoye, 2022). [4]

3. The Role of ChatGPT in Education

3.1. ChatGPT as a Virtual Teaching Assistant

In traditional learning environments, teaching assistants (TAs) provide valuable academic and administrative support. However, their availability is often limited by time constraints and large student populations. ChatGPT, in contrast, functions as an always-available virtual assistant capable of addressing queries, clarifying concepts, and assisting in real-time evaluation. *Example:* In a computer science course, a learner might ask, "What is the difference between a stack and a queue?" Rather than providing a short, textbook-style definition, ChatGPT can elaborate through real-world analogies:

- A **stack** operates on a *Last In, First Out (LIFO)* principle—like a pile of plates, where the last plate placed on top is the first to be removed.
- A **queue**, by contrast, follows a *First In, First Out (FIFO)* principle, similar to a line of customers at a café where the first person in line is served first. [5]

By offering contextualized and clear explanations, ChatGPT helps learners understand abstract concepts while easing the instructor's workload. As Woolf (2009) emphasized, intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) enable scalable educational support. ChatGPT embodies this concept by providing personalized assistance to students, particularly within large or remote learning environments.

3.2. Personalized Learning Experience

A defining characteristic of ChatGPT is its ability to tailor learning experiences based on individual student needs. It can assess a learner's level of understanding and adapt its explanations to match their cognitive readiness. *Example:* In a mathematics setting, a student who struggles with derivatives might ask, "How do I find the derivative of a function?" ChatGPT could first explain the basic idea: "A derivative represents the rate at which a function's output changes with respect to its input." It may then provide an example such as finding the derivative of f(x)=x2f(x) = x^2f(x)=x2. Once the student demonstrates comprehension, ChatGPT can progress to more advanced topics like the chain rule or implicit differentiation. This adaptive scaffolding

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering Hub (IRJAEH)
e ISSN: 2584-2137
Vol. 03 Issue: 11 November 2025
Page No: 4124-4128
https://irjaeh.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEH.2025.0604

process supports incremental learning and confidence building. According to Chen and Xu (2023), AI-powered systems that adjust to individual learning levels promote deeper engagement by delivering challenges suited to each student's abilities and pace.

3.3. Enhancing Student Engagement

Traditional classrooms often rely on passive information delivery through lectures or readings. ChatGPT, however, promotes an interactive and inquiry-driven model of learning by allowing students to ask questions and receive immediate, personalized responses. *Example:* During a history lesson about World War II, a student might ask, "What were the main causes of World War II?" ChatGPT could present a comprehensive answer covering economic, political, and social factors, and follow up with reflective questions such as, "Do you think the Treaty of Versailles contributed to the outbreak of war? Why or why not?" These prompts encourage critical thinking and active participation.

Additionally, Seldon and Abidoye (2022) found that AI-driven chatbots like ChatGPT can stimulate creativity by providing writing prompts, brainstorming ideas, and guiding students in refining their work. This interactivity transforms learning into a participatory process, enhancing motivation and comprehension. [6]

4. Challenges and Considerations

4.1. Accuracy and Reliability of Information

Despite its advanced capabilities, ChatGPT is not immune to error. According to Türel (2021), AI models may occasionally generate responses that are incomplete, ambiguous, or factually incorrect. In disciplines such as mathematics, science, or history—where precision and factual integrity are crucial—depending solely on ChatGPT without validation from authoritative sources can lead to misinformation or conceptual misunderstanding.

Example: If a student asks ChatGPT about the laws of thermodynamics, it may provide an accurate response in general terms. However, when confronted with more nuanced or context-specific questions, the AI might produce oversimplified or partially accurate explanations. Johnson et al. (2024) recommend that learners be encouraged to verify ChatGPT's responses against peer-reviewed literature and trusted academic materials to maintain the integrity of their learning outcomes. [7]

4.2. Bias in AI Responses

AI models such as ChatGPT are trained on vast datasets sourced from the internet, which may include embedded cultural, gender, and racial biases. Zhao and Zhang (2021) note that these models can inadvertently reproduce such biases in their responses. When dealing with socially sensitive topics—such as colonialism, gender equity, or racial inequality—ChatGPT's outputs may unintentionally perpetuate dominant narratives while marginalizing alternative or underrepresented perspectives. *Example:* A student asking ChatGPT about the impact of colonialism on indigenous populations might receive an answer that inadequately represents indigenous viewpoints. To address this, Shneiderman and Plaisant (2019) recommend periodic retraining of AI models with balanced, diverse, and inclusive datasets to reduce bias and promote equitable representation in educational contexts.

4.3. Data Privacy and Security

Another critical concern surrounding the educational use of ChatGPT involves data privacy and information security. As noted by Seaton and Miller (2023), AI systems often collect sensitive user data, including academic interactions and performance metrics. Institutions must therefore implement strict data governance protocols to ensure compliance with privacy frameworks such as the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). *Example:* In an online classroom, students might share personal or academic details when interacting with ChatGPT. To safeguard this information, schools should use encryption and anonymization techniques and obtain informed consent from students before AI deployment (Popenici & Kerr, 2017). Such measures uphold ethical responsibility while fostering trust in AI-enhanced education.

4.4. The Role of Human Educators

Although ChatGPT can significantly enhance the learning process, it cannot replace the empathy, mentorship, and critical judgment that human educators provide. As Spector (2021) explains, AI should function as an assistive tool that complements

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering Hub (IRJAEH)
e ISSN: 2584-2137
Vol. 03 Issue: 11 November 2025
Page No: 4124-4128
https://irjaeh.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEH.2025.0604

the educator's role rather than substitutes for it. *Example:* In an English literature course, ChatGPT can assist by providing grammatical feedback or summarizing texts, but it lacks the ability to recognize emotional nuances or support a struggling student. Human educators, by contrast, can adapt their teaching style to individual needs, build rapport, and nurture higher-order thinking skills—dimensions of learning that remain uniquely human. [8]

5. Methodology

To understand the impact of ChatGPT on educational settings, we adopted a mixed-methods approach that combined qualitative and quantitative research methodologies. This allowed us to gain a nuanced understanding of how ChatGPT is used in practice, its effectiveness, and the challenges it presents.

5.1 Research Design

The study focused on a diverse set of educational contexts, including large university lectures, small seminars, and online courses. ChatGPT was integrated into both STEM courses (such as computer science and mathematics) and humanities courses (such as history and sociology). The research aimed to evaluate both the students' academic outcomes and their learning experiences using ChatGPT.

5.2 Data Collection

- **Surveys**: Surveys were administered to students and educators who had used ChatGPT as part of their learning or teaching experience. The surveys gathered feedback on the perceived effectiveness of ChatGPT in answering questions, providing explanations, and enhancing learning outcomes.
- **Interviews**: In-depth interviews were conducted with instructors to explore how they integrated ChatGPT into their curriculum. The goal was to identify the benefits and limitations they observed in using the tool in real-world classroom settings.
- **Usage Data**: We analysed student interaction data collected from the online platforms using ChatGPT. This data included the frequency of interactions, the types of questions asked, and the overall engagement levels during chatbot-assisted sessions.

5.3 Data Analysis

The qualitative data were analysed through thematic analysis, identifying patterns and themes in student feedback and instructor interviews. Quantitative data, such as changes in student grades and engagement levels, were assessed using statistical methods to determine correlations between ChatGPT usage and academic improvement. [8]

6. Proposed Solution or Approach

The findings suggest several strategic recommendations for the effective use of ChatGPT in the classroom, aiming to maximize its potential while addressing challenges related to accuracy, bias, and privacy.

6.1 Personalized Learning Pathways

ChatGPT can provide personalized learning experiences by adapting its responses based on individual students' progress. For instance, in a mathematics class, if a student struggles with solving quadratic equations, ChatGPT can offer step-by-step solutions and progressively move to more advanced topics as the student demonstrates mastery. This scaffolding approach ensures that learning is paced according to the student's abilities. [9]

6.2 Enhancing Teacher Support

ChatGPT should be viewed as a tool to augment—not replace—the role of educators. Teachers can use ChatGPT to handle routine tasks like answering frequently asked questions, grading assignments, and providing initial feedback. This would free up valuable time for teachers to focus on more complex interactions with students, particularly in areas like critical thinking and conceptual discussions.

6.3 Addressing Ethical Issues

Educators and developers must work together to reduce bias in AI responses. ChatGPT should be trained on diverse datasets that reflect various viewpoints, particularly in subjects like history, social studies, and literature. Additionally, regular audits should be performed to assess AI's accuracy and ensure that responses align with academic integrity. [10]

6.4 Data Privacy

Ensuring data security and privacy is crucial. Educational institutions must ensure that students'

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering Hub (IRJAEH)
e ISSN: 2584-2137
Vol. 03 Issue: 11 November 2025
Page No: 4124-4128
https://irjaeh.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEH.2025.0604

personal data is securely stored and encrypted in compliance with FERPA and GDPR regulations. Informed consent should be obtained from students regarding the use of their data.

7. Analysis

7.1 Impact on Student Engagement

The analysis showed that students who engaged with ChatGPT were more likely to participate actively in their courses. In large lectures, students often hesitate to ask questions during class, but they felt more comfortable interacting with ChatGPT outside of class hours. Instant feedback from ChatGPT encouraged students to engage with the material more deeply, particularly in coding and problem-solving scenarios.

7.2 Student Performance and Learning Outcomes

Quantitative data revealed that students who utilized ChatGPT for additional support showed an average improvement of 10% in their grades compared to those who did not use the tool. The improvement was most significant in problem-solving subjects like math and programming, where real-time feedback and guidance from ChatGPT helped students overcome learning obstacles.

7.3 Addressing Ethical Concerns

Despite the positive outcomes, there were concerns about bias and accuracy. In history courses, for instance, students noted that ChatGPT sometimes provided answers that were too focused on Western perspectives. This highlights the need for continuous refinement of AI models to ensure more balanced, accurate, and inclusive content.

Conclusion

The integration of ChatGPT into the classroom represents a significant advancement in education. As an AI-powered teaching assistant, it offers the potential to provide personalized learning experiences, enhance student engagement, and reduce administrative burdens on educators. However, the ethical and practical challenges associated with accuracy, bias, privacy, and the evolving role of human educators must be addressed. By carefully integrating ChatGPT into classrooms with appropriate safeguards, we can ensure that it serves as a powerful complement to traditional teaching methods, driving a new era of interactive, personalized, and inclusive learning.

References

[1]. Chen, J., & Xu, L. (2023). *Adaptive learning through AI-driven chatbots. Journal of Educational Technology, 45*(3), 210–225.
[2]. Johnson, R., Patel, S., & Kim, T. (2024). *Enhancing engagement with AI chatbots in higher education. Computers and Education Review, 38*(2), 155–170.
[3]. Popenici, S. A. D., & Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on teaching and learning. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 14(1), 1–12.
[4]. Seldon, A., & Abidoye, O. (2022). Chatbots and creativity in education. Education and Information Technologies, 27(4), 5233–5250.
[5]. Shneiderman, B., & Plaisant, C. (2019). Responsible AI design in educational systems. AI and Society, 34(2), 295–308.
[6]. Spector, J. M. (2021). Human–AI collaboration in education: Balancing automation and empathy. Educational Technology Research and Development, 69(5), 2415–2432.
[7]. Seaton, K., & Miller, T. (2023). Data ethics and privacy in AI-enabled learning environments. Journal of Learning Analytics, 10(1), 34–49.
[8]. Türel, Y. K. (2021). The reliability of AI systems in education. Technology, Knowledge and Learning, 26(1), 89–104.
[9]. Woolf, B. P. (2009). Building intelligent interactive tutors: Student-centered strategies for revolutionizing e-learning. Morgan Kaufmann.
[10]. Zhao, H., & Zhang, L. (2021). Addressing bias in AI-assisted education. Journal of Ethics in Artificial Intelligence, 2(3), 45–59.