

Lightweight Multi-Material Laminates Through the Routes of Friction Stir Additive Manufacturing

Manish M. Bhat¹, Vivek V. Kulkarni², C.Y. Seemikeri³, Deepak M. Patil⁴

^{1,4} Research Scholar, Sanjay Ghodawat University, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, 416 118, India

² Professor, Mechanical Engineering, Sanjay Ghodawat University, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, 416 118, India

³ Head of Mechanical Engineering, Government Polytechnic, Karad, Maharashtra, 415 124, India

Emails: manishbhat296@gmail.com¹, vivek.kulkarni@sanjayghodawatuniversity.ac.in², cyseemikeri@gmail.com³, patildm206@gmail.com⁴

Abstract

Multi-material design is a novel approach to cater the needs of nowadays aircraft, marine and automobile applications. To meet wholesome characteristics, material laminates are articulated. The aircraft and automobile industries are striving to overcome challenges of light weight, economical and green design of materials. The demand of varied characteristics can be better fulfilled by multi-material laminates. Combining similar or dissimilar alloys to customise the material is a novel technique which overcomes issues related to versatile characteristics required in material. Fabrication of desired properties can be achieved by joining different alloys in the form of laminated structure. Aluminium alloys that are preferred in aircraft structural components can be replaced with multi-material Al, Mg, Cu, Ti laminates to get added benefits in terms of cost, environmental impact and light weight design. Presently, many methods of material fabrication are available either fusion based or solid state. The friction stir additive manufacturing, a solid state joining method can be effectively used for lightweight multi-material design. This paper examines various fusion-based and solid-state methods for multi-material manufacturing, with a particular emphasis on the innovative Friction Stir Additive Manufacturing (FSAM) technique. The attention of researchers is drawn towards challenges associated with joining dissimilar materials.

Keywords: Friction Stir Additive Manufacturing; Lightweight structures; Multi-material; Solid state joining

1. Introduction

The aerospace and automotive sectors increasingly adopt multi-material designs to meet stringent demands for performance, efficiency, and sustainability. Modern vehicles and aircraft require structures that combine disparate materials, such as lightweight alloys, advanced composites, and high-strength materials. This integration allows for optimized property distributions, leading to reduced weight, enhanced fuel economy, and improved structural integrity [1]. Modern transportation sectors, encompassing aerospace, marine, and automotive industries, persistently pursue advancements in performance, efficiency, and sustainability. A central strategy for achieving these objectives involves the judicious integration of diverse materials within single structural components or systems [2]. Material combinations enable

designers to achieve specific performance targets, including superior strength-to-weight ratios and tailored energy absorption capabilities. Historically, designs relied heavily on monolithic materials, often leading to compromises between conflicting property requirements such as strength, weight, corrosion resistance, and cost. [3,4]. Designing multi-material assemblies involves a holistic approach that considers material selection, joint geometry, and manufacturing process capabilities concurrently. Designers must account for the anisotropic properties of composites and the varying mechanical responses of metals under different loading conditions. The primary design criterion for aerospace and automotive applications frequently involves optimizing for lightweighting while maintaining or improving strength, stiffness, and fatigue resistance. This

optimization necessitates advanced computational modelling and simulation tools to predict component behaviour under operational loads [5]. Furthermore, considerations for manufacturability, inspectability, reparability, and end-of-life recycling must be integrated early in the design phase. The judicious combination of materials and intelligent joint design aims to leverage the strengths of each constituent while mitigating their individual weaknesses within the assembly. Designers must keep eye on intermaterial relationships and interface interaction. [6]. There is a frequent need to enhance performance and cost-effectiveness while combining the advantages of different materials within a single structure. In fact, multi-material design has been recognized as the most effective strategy for reducing weight, balancing costs, and minimizing environmental impact [7-9]. Recently, there has been a surge of interest in multi-material design to take benefits of the desired blend of properties. The potential for hybrid structures made from Mg/Al, Al/Ti, and Ti/Mg is exciting, as they can offer remarkable benefits tailored to specific needs. However, one must acknowledge the challenges that often emerge when joining dissimilar materials into one unit, especially articulating Al/Mg, Al/Ti, and Mg/Ti structures. Despite the sophistication of advanced techniques like laser welding, additive manufacturing routes, tackling these tasks remains a formidable challenge due to the several differences in the physical and metallurgical properties of materials to join. Factors such as variations in crystal structure, melting points, thermal conductivity, and coefficients of linear thermal expansion create substantial obstacles. To overcome these hurdles, we must embark on a quest for innovative solutions that truly push the boundaries of the current multi-material design and manufacturing scenario [10-11].

2. Multimaterial Structures for Aviation, Marine and Automobile Sector

Modern transportation relies on efficient yet eco-friendly, reliable but low cost, lightweight but comfortable solutions may be aerospace, surface transport or marine. The most developing aviation industry faces an urgent need for lightweight structures that can lead to significantly reduced fuel

consumption, greater payload capacities, and lower emissions. Aircraft designers are tasked with innovative solutions that not only meet these rigorous demands but also ensure optimal performance and safety [3,4]. In parallel, marine vessels require the development of exceptionally strong and corrosion-resistant structures, and longevity in seawater. These vessels must be engineered to withstand harsh marine environments while minimizing operational costs and their ecological footprint, making efficiency a top priority for shipbuilders [5, 6]. Meanwhile, the automotive industry is driven by the necessity for substantial weight reduction, which directly influences fuel economy and crashworthiness [7, 8]. Engineers are continually exploring cutting-edge materials and designs that enhance both performance and safety ratings in vehicles. To meet these diverse and pressing needs, multi-material solutions emerge as a transformative approach. By skilfully combining the unique properties of various alloys, these solutions enable the optimization of multiple performance metrics—ensuring that the innovations in lightweighting do not compromise strength, safety, and functionality [12]. Lightweighting is a primary driver for multi-material adoption in both aerospace and automotive industries. In aerospace, every kilogram of weight reduction translates into significant fuel savings and increased payload capacity, directly impacting operational efficiency and environmental footprint. For example, a 1% reduction in aircraft weight can yield a 0.75% fuel saving. This drives the use of lightweight materials like aluminum, magnesium, titanium, and steel. Similarly, in the automotive industry, lightweighting reduces fuel consumption for internal combustion engine vehicles and extends range for electric vehicles [13]. Lighter structures also contribute to improved handling, acceleration, and braking performance. Multi-material construction, strategically placing optimal materials where needed, facilitates these weight reductions while maintaining structural integrity and crashworthiness [14]. Multi-material manufacturing offers compelling sustainability advantages, primarily through lightweighting which reduces energy consumption during operation. For instance, lighter aircraft and

vehicles consume less fuel, resulting in decreased greenhouse gas emissions [15]. The enhanced durability and corrosion resistance of multi-material components can extend product lifespan, reducing the frequency of replacement and associated resource consumption. Furthermore, advanced solid-state manufacturing processes often generate less material waste compared to subtractive manufacturing [16]. The aerospace sector extensively utilizes Al, Mg, and Ti alloys for their high strength-to-weight ratios, crucial for fuel efficiency and performance [3]. Multi-material integration is applied in various aircraft components. Aluminium alloys, such as 2xxx and 7xxx series, are prevalent in airframes and structural elements, often combined with titanium for high-stress areas or specific functional requirements like fasteners [3, 17]. For example, titanium alloy fasteners offer high strength levels, replacing heavier steel or nickel fasteners [17]. Multi-material structures also appear in engine components, where Ti alloys withstand extreme temperatures and fatigue, while Al alloys are used in less thermally demanding sections [18, 19]. Multi-material design stands at the cutting edge of the lightweight revolution in automotive mass production. While the incorporation of weight adding safety features, advanced cruise control systems, and sophisticated infotainment technologies is essential for modern driving, it is imperative that multi-material structure offset this weight burden by innovatively reducing the weight of individual components. At the same time, pressure from economical design practices, the pursuit of compactness and stringent emission control regulations also impose vital constraints on vehicle weight. Striking the perfect balance between these elements is key to achieving the future of efficient and cutting-edge automotive engineering [20-24]. Multi-material solutions, integrating Al, Mg, and high-strength steels, are pivotal in achieving these goals while maintaining or enhancing crashworthiness. Aluminium alloys are widely used for body structures, engine blocks, and chassis components due to their low density and high strength [25-27]. Magnesium alloys, offering even greater weight savings, are finding applications in interior components and increasingly in structural

parts where their formability challenges can be addressed by techniques like semi-solid metal processing[28,29]. Multi-material designs optimize energy absorption during collisions by strategically placing different materials to manage deformation. For instance, a combination of Al alloys and advanced high-strength steels or magnesium can create tailored crash zones. Solid-state joining methods are particularly relevant here, as they enable robust connections between dissimilar metals without compromising the integrity of lightweight structures [30-33]. Marine environments pose unique challenges due to high corrosivity, demanding materials with exceptional resistance and structural integrity. Aluminium alloys, like AA5754, are common in shipbuilding and marine applications, particularly for their lightweight properties [34]. Multi-material constructs often combine aluminium with other alloys to optimize performance. For example, nickel alloys are extensively applied in shipbuilding and marine engineering, including high elastic limit steels. Titanium alloys, such as Ti-6242, are selected for marine gas turbine engine compressor components due to their superior performance in corrosive conditions [35]. Beyond lightweighting, multi-material structures must satisfy rigorous durability and safety standards. Components in aircraft and automobiles endure complex loading conditions, including fatigue, impact, and corrosion. Multi-material designs can enhance these properties by combining materials that excel in specific performance areas; for instance, a composite structure for stiffness paired with metal inserts for impact energy absorption. Regulatory bodies impose strict requirements on structural integrity, crash safety, and material traceability. This necessitates extensive testing and validation of multi-material joints and assemblies to ensure compliance. The long service life of aerospace components, often decades, demands exceptional durability and resistance to environmental degradation. In automotive applications, crash energy management and passenger safety are paramount, influencing the selection and integration of materials with specific deformation characteristics. The ability to predict and control the behaviour of complex multi-material

interfaces under various service conditions is therefore critical [36]. Multi-material solutions fundamentally transform the performance capabilities of structures across aerospace, marine, and automotive sectors. By selectively deploying Al, Mg, and Ti alloys, engineers can achieve an optimal balance of properties that monolithic materials cannot provide. For aerospace, multi-material designs directly contribute to significant weight reductions, translating into lower fuel consumption, increased payload capacity, and extended range for aircraft [3]. The strategic placement of high-strength titanium in critical load-bearing areas, combined with lightweight aluminium or magnesium in less stressed regions, enhances overall structural efficiency and fatigue life [16]. In marine applications, multi-material approaches improve resistance to harsh corrosive environments while reducing vessel weight, thereby increasing speed, stability, and operational lifespan [18]. Automotive designs benefit from reduced vehicle mass, which directly leads to improved fuel economy, lower emissions, and superior acceleration [2]. Furthermore, the tailored mechanical properties of multi-material structures can enhance crashworthiness by distributing impact energy more effectively across diverse materials, improving occupant safety [7, 37].

3. Multimaterial Manufacturing

A wide range of manufacturing methods are being used for production of multi-material laminates. Selection of proper process depends upon materials being used, desired properties in final product, extent of defects, volume of structure and economy. Conventional methods like casting, forging, joining, and machining for manufacturing of multi-material structures are replaced by advanced methods to take advantage of economy, ability to process complex shapes with higher accuracy. Different fusion based and solid state techniques are being used to develop multi-material structures. The emerging Additive Manufacturing technology offers green solutions and stands tall in dealing with complex shapes, quality and durability [38]. With the rise of industrialization and market globalization, there is a growing demand for efficient manufacturing processes that can support a rapidly expanding economy. The ideal

manufacturing approach should be reliable, offer shorter lead times, provide greater material adaptability, minimize waste, require little to no post-processing, utilize inexpensive tools, and be cost-effective in terms of materials and storage. While traditional manufacturing methods have been widely adopted across various industries, they often fall short of meeting the diverse requirements of modern products. In recent decades, additive manufacturing (AM) has emerged to bridge this gap [39-41]. Additive manufacturing is emerging as a remarkably versatile production technique poised to revolutionize traditional manufacturing methods in the near future. Recently, multi-material additive manufacturing (MMAM) has captured attention for its ability to efficiently fabricate intricate structures, drastically reducing both production timelines and material costs [42]. A diverse array of innovative MMAM technologies has been developed, actively making waves across various industries and reshaping the landscape of manufacturing as we know it [43]. Today's additive manufacturing (AM) technologies have revolutionized the creation of innovative geometries. Future AM systems that enable the simultaneous processing of multiple materials in a single build will unlock new product functionalities that traditional manufacturing methods simply cannot match. The field of multi-material additive manufacturing, which integrates dissimilar materials into complex three-dimensional objects, is advancing with great promise, even if the progress has been varied [44]. We are boldly moving beyond homogeneous materials by embracing multi-materials, gradient materials, and functional and responsive materials, as well as those with heterogeneous and graded properties. This evolution clearly shows that a single additive process based on either energy delivery or material deposition alone will not be adequate. We are ready to redefine what is possible in manufacturing [45-46].

3.1. Fusion-Based Manufacturing Approaches for Multi-material Structures

Fusion-based techniques, including various additive manufacturing processes and laser welding, provide enhanced design flexibility and often higher

production rates, particularly for complex geometries and tailored material distributions.

3.1.1. Laser welding

Laser welding is a high-energy density fusion process that precisely melts and fuses materials using a concentrated laser beam. Its localized heat input and rapid cooling rates minimize the heat-affected zone and distortion. This characteristic is particularly beneficial for joining dissimilar metals, where the formation of intermetallic compounds can be a concern. Advanced fusion methods, such as electron beam welding and specialized arc welding techniques with modified heat input profiles, are used in applications where high joint strength, hermetic seals, and minimal thermal distortion are critical. Examples include components in aerospace engines and structural elements in the automotive industry. The controlled energy delivery in these methods allows for effective management of melt pool and solidification characteristics, which are essential for joining multiple materials [47-50]. A major concern when welding aluminium (Al) and magnesium (Mg) alloys is the formation of brittle intermetallic compounds (IMCs), such as Al_3Mg_2 and $Mg_{17}Al_{12}$, which can negatively impact joint performance. Similarly, during the welding of aluminium/titanium (Al/Ti) alloys, brittle IMCs like Ti_3Al , $TiAl$, $TiAl_2$, and $TiAl_3$ may form [51-53]. To suppress the formation of these IMCs, one effective approach is laser weld bonding (LWB), which uses structural adhesives, interlayer or filler metals, or a combination of both. LWB is a hybrid joining technique that merges laser welding with adhesive bonding. In this process, a structural adhesive is applied between the two materials to be joined, followed by laser welding, and the assembly is then cured at the appropriate temperature for a suitable duration. The joints produced through this method exhibits superior properties compared to those made by conventional laser welding or adhesive bonding alone [54-57]. Additionally, the laser-adhesive-interlayer hybrid technique has been successfully developed and applied to join Al/Mg alloys, resulting in joints of even higher quality than those produced by either weld bonding or using interlayers alone [58,59]. Interlayers are commonly utilized as

barrier materials in the welding of dissimilar materials. Their primary purpose is to prevent or reduce the interaction between the base metals, which helps limit the formation of intermetallic compounds (IMCs). Various interlayers and filler metals, including mild steel foil [60], cerium (Ce) foil [54], titanium (Ti) foil [61], nickel (Ni) foil [62] and zinc-aluminium (Zn-Al) filler metal [63], have been successfully employed to join aluminium (Al) and magnesium (Mg) alloys using laser welding techniques [64,65].

3.1.2. Fusion based Additive Manufacturing

Additive Manufacturing (AM) is a well-established leading-edge technology that is used to create artefacts of simple as well as complicated shapes. Human involvement in AM is minimal and additionally it is cost effective [66-69]. Amount of engineering wastage while utilizing AM techniques is significantly less and these processes are more eco-friendly [70-73]. Materials used in AM include metals and alloys, ceramics, polymers, composites, smart materials, concrete, and biomaterials [74]. Additive manufacturing (AM) offers unparalleled flexibility in creating complex geometries and multi-material structures layer by layer. As per ASTM standards, AM technology can be majorly classified into seven types viz: directed energy deposition (DED), binder jetting, powder bed fusion (PBF), sheet lamination, VAT photo polymerization, material extrusion, and material jetting [11,34]. The DED, PBF, sheet lamination and binder jetting offer interesting potential for fabrication of metallic parts required for industrial applications [75-77]. Rest of the AM processes like electron beam melting, selective laser melting, and laser engineered net shaping involve the addition of an alloy or metal, layer-by layer and track-by-track, which is achieved by melting & solidification of the powders resulting in near-net-shaped parts. Directed Energy Deposition (DED) and Powder Bed Fusion (PBF) are prominent AM processes used for metals. DED, for example, involves melting and fusing material as it is deposited, enabling the creation of functionally graded materials or multi-material components by switching feedstock during the build [78]. PBF methods, such as Selective Laser Melting

(SLM) and Electron Beam Melting (EBM), precisely fuse powdered materials. While typically single-material processes, advancements explore multi-material PBF through techniques like multi-powder feeders or segmented powder beds. Multi-Photon Polymerization (MPP) also enables multi-material artifacts by independently manipulating microparticles within a photopolymer structure [79]. These capabilities support the fabrication of components with spatially tailored properties, crucial for aerospace and automotive applications requiring optimized performance and weight. The control over local material composition allows for advanced design possibilities [80, 81]. Compared to conventional manufacturing methods, the MAM offers several advantages: the capability to produce parts with many materials in any configuration, near-net-shaped parts with high design freedom, less material wastage, reduced part fabrication time, and cost. Multi-material additive manufacturing (MMAM) offers several opportunities for designing complex, functional, highly personalized, and high-value products with improved properties. Recently, direct energy deposition (DED) has been attracting considerable attention in metal additive manufacturing due to its capability of producing multi-materials and composition gradient materials with a high degree of geometrical design freedom and relatively high productivity compared to powder bed fusion processing. During multi-material fabrication using DED processing, metal powders are injected through different powder-feeding nozzles by controlling the feeding rates in a high-energy laser beam. The molten material is deposited as a thin layer, and several such layers are deposited one over the other to produce a 3D part so that various types of parts of locally different chemical compositions and properties can be manufactured. Layered structured materials (i.e., clad materials) are the simplest configuration of the multi-materials [82]. In a multi-material combination with soft and hard materials, the hard domain contributes high strength whereas shock absorption can be secured from the soft domain. These hybrid structures are highly desirable in automobile industries [83]. A new approach for multiple material SLM by combining powder-bed

spreading, point-by-point multiple nozzles ultrasonic dry powder delivery, and point-by-point single layer powder removal to realize multiple material fusion within the same layer and across different layers. A clear distinct sandwich layer distribution and a good metallurgical bonding were obtained at the material interfaces for the material combinations using stainless steel powder and Nickel powder (316L/In718) and (stainless steel and Copper alloy powder) 316L/Cu10Sn[84]. The multi-metal processing by laser powder bed fusion (L-PBF) causes additional complications such as loss of alloying elements, intermetallic phases, and unmelted particles apart from balling, porosity, cracking, and oxide inclusions [85]. Steel/copper multi-material parts which combine the good strength and corrosion resistance of steel with the high heat conduction and wear resistance of copper may be a promising solution for the fusion reactors, conformal cooling channels, automobile, rail and aviation industries [86]. Multi-material FDM is achieved by employing multiple extrusion heads where nozzle temperature, printing speed, and resolution can be individually controlled as necessary. However, some drawbacks of multi-head FDM still exist, such as inherent poor surface finish with ridges, limited printing resolution, slow build speed, and low interfacial bonding strength. In particular, low interfacial bonding is a critical issue in producing 3D parts with dissimilar materials. Multi-material printing with Material Jetting (MJ) has been easily implemented following the same approach using multiple jetting heads [87,88] supplying multiple photo-curable materials and support materials are processed through jetting heads. However, multi-material printing with Material Jetting faces difficulty in processing high viscosity material and also demands keen monitoring and control system [89, 90]. Multi-material additive manufacturing (MMAM) with SLA requires material exchange from one liquid to another in the vat. Initially manual changing and later, a rotating vat carousel system was used to automate material change. Recently, an aerosol jetting system has been employed in SLA to directly supply different materials [91]. The aerosol system has multiple the material containers and atomizers that transform

liquid material into small droplets [92]. MMAM offers an excellent tool to directly manufacture parts with geometrical complexity as well as material variety. Multi-material AM (MMAM) methods have recently been applied to fabricate complex structures in an efficient way to save production time and the cost of the materials used [93]. With the advantage of fabricating multiple materials in a single manufacturing process, it is possible to produce functionally graded materials (FGM) with improved material interface characteristics. Multi-functional 3D parts may lead to revolutionary solutions in a variety of fields including biomedical engineering, soft robotics, and electronics. Despite the remarkable advances in MMAM in the past few years, there are still many outstanding challenges to be addressed including low production throughput, poor scalability and surface finish, limited material selection, high cross-contamination, and low interfacial bonding between different materials [94].

3.1.3. Challenges In Fusion Based Processes

Despite advancements in fusion-based metal additive manufacturing (MAM), the structural integrity of the products often falls short, leading to inadequate load-bearing capacity [95-98]. The layers of 3D printed parts are created through a layer-wise deposition of melted filler material, similar to the fusion welding process. This can result in various non-equilibrium solidification defects, such as cracking and porosity, which can adversely affect the performance of the produced parts. Induced porosity is a common defect in fusion-based MAM [99-101]. When excessive energy is applied during the process, issues like porosity and material contamination can occur due to the ejection of spatter. Conversely, insufficient energy from the electron or laser beam may prevent complete melting, leading to the formation of incomplete fusion holes. Additionally, during alloy solidification, low-melting point particles may be rejected at the solid-liquid boundary, creating a thin liquid layer between solidified dendrites [102]. This can result in solidification shrinkage, causing hot cracking or tearing, particularly in non-weldable alloys such as high-strength aluminium alloys [103,104]. Other concerns include anisotropy, distortion of manufactured parts, and residual stresses

[103,105,106]. During the deposition of consecutive layers, reheating of previously deposited layers can lead to unwanted phase transformations. The presence of residual stresses in parts created by these techniques remains a challenge. The tendency for large grain sizes and segregation in formed components results in an inhomogeneous structure. Focusing on refining processing parameters and developing new alloy compositions may help achieve a more uniform microstructure [107-109]. Consequently, there is a pressing need for the development of new technologies to address these issues associated with traditional fusion-based MAM methods [95,110]. Defects like pores, cracks, and insufficient fusion can be addressed by promoting further research into process optimization and materials selection, particularly, for alloys that are more susceptible to hot cracking [111-113]. By exploring advanced techniques for stress management and component design, enhancement in the structural integrity of the materials facing the issue of rapid solidification leading to significant deformation and residual stress can be achieved [114]. Understanding the above-mentioned challenges in fusion-based additive manufacturing (AM) can pave the way for improvements and innovations. Refining fusion-based AM technologies can unlock their full potential for manufacturing high-quality components.

3.2. Solid State Manufacturing Approaches for Multi-Material Structures

Due to the intricate liquid-to-solid phase transformation process, components created through fusion-based MAM methods often display anisotropic behavior and diminished transverse strength which limits their suitability for structural applications, urging us to rethink their utilization. This fundamental fabrication problem of fusion-based MAM attracts the attention of researchers. In response to these concerns, many researchers have focused on eliminating MAM's limitations and have proposed innovative approaches. One promising method involves incorporating solid-state friction-based techniques into additive manufacturing. Various friction-based additive techniques (FATs) are currently in practice [115-120].

3.2.1. Diffusion Bonding

Diffusion bonding is a solid-state joining technique that creates atomic bonds between mating surfaces through the application of heat and pressure. This process typically occurs at temperatures below the melting point of the materials, relying on atomic diffusion across the interface. It offers advantages for joining dissimilar metals and alloys, including those with significantly different melting points or prone to forming brittle intermetallics upon melting. Diffusion bonding often produces joints with properties very close to the parent materials, particularly in terms of ductility and fatigue strength, due to the absence of a distinct weld bead or fusion zone. Variants of solid-state joining include explosive welding, which uses a high-velocity impact to create a metallurgical bond between two metals [121-123]. These methods are often employed for critical applications requiring high integrity and minimal distortion, such as in aerospace components. This process is suitable for complex geometries and dissimilar material combinations, including Ti alloys, where high-integrity joints are required without macroscopic melting. Hot Isostatic Pressing (HIP) and Spark Plasma Sintering (SPS) are related techniques that apply high pressure and temperature to consolidate powders or bond components. SPS, for instance, offers fast heating rates and shorter sintering times, enabling the production of high-density materials with controlled grain growth, particularly for high entropy alloys. The FAST-forge process, combining Field Assisted Sintering Technology (FAST) with hot forging, effectively recycles Ti-6Al-4V swarf into components with properties comparable to melt-wrought material, demonstrating its utility for titanium alloy processing [124-126].

3.2.2. Solid State AM Approaches

Solid-state additive manufacturing (AM) methods offer unique advantages for creating complex multi-material geometries, especially for Al, Mg, and Ti alloys. These techniques build parts layer-by-layer without exceeding the melting point, reducing thermal distortions and residual stresses common in fusion-based AM [127,128]. Additive Friction Stir Deposition (AFSD), as mentioned, can repair and build features on existing components, offering

flexibility for complex repairs in alloys like Al7075 [129]. Cold Spray, another solid-state AM method, involves accelerating metal powder particles to high velocities, causing them to plastically deform and bond upon impact with a substrate. This process is effective for depositing various metals, including Al and Ti, and can create functional multi-material layers without significant heat input [130,131]. Hybrid additive manufacturing, which combines AM with traditional methods, also broadens design possibilities, allowing for the creation of parts with tailored properties on existing substrates [132].

3.2.3. Friction Stir Welding and Allied Processes

Friction Stir Welding (FSW) is a solid-state joining process particularly suited for dissimilar material combinations, including aluminium alloys, magnesium alloys, and even aluminium to steel. The process involves a non-consumable tool rotating and traversing along the joint line, generating frictional heat that softens the material without melting it. This solid-state nature avoids many issues associated with fusion welding, such as solidification defects, liquation cracking, and excessive distortion [121-123]. FSW produces fine-grained microstructures in the stirred zone, leading to improved mechanical properties compared to conventional fusion welds. Variants like friction stir spot welding (FSSW) and friction stir processing (FSP) further extend the applicability of this technology to complex joint geometries and surface modifications for multi-material systems. FSW's capability to join traditionally unweldable alloys makes it highly relevant for lightweight structures in transport applications. Solid-state methods, like FSW and diffusion bonding, operate below the melting point, thus minimizing issues related to solidification defects, residual stresses, and the formation of brittle intermetallic compounds, particularly when joining materials with vastly different melting temperatures. These processes often yield joints with properties closer to the parent materials, especially regarding ductility and fatigue resistance. However, solid-state techniques typically require precise surface preparation, high clamping forces, and can be slower for certain applications. Fusion-based methods,

including traditional welding and additive manufacturing, offer higher process speeds and greater flexibility in joint geometry for many material pairs. Additive manufacturing, in particular, enables unprecedented design freedom and the creation of functionally graded materials or complex multi-material structures [133-136]. Conversely, fusion processes generally introduce larger heat-affected zones, more significant residual stresses, and can lead to metallurgical incompatibilities when joining highly dissimilar materials. The choice between solid-state and fusion approaches depends on the specific material combination, desired joint properties, production volume, and cost considerations. Friction stir-based technologies are the newest addition to the additive manufacturing technologies, and there is a lot of unrealized potential. [137-140].

3.2.4. Friction Stir Additive Manufacturing (FSAM)

Friction Stir Additive Manufacturing is a state of art process. FSAM demonstrates significant opportunities notably in the aerospace, automobile, marine and defence sector. This cutting-edge technique has the ability to efficiently manufacture multifunctional, lightweight, high-strength structural parts to cater to the needs of aircraft and other technical parts. A key application of FSAM in aerospace is the production of stiffeners and stringers, which are vital for structural integrity. FSAM opens up new horizons for manufacturing large components, paving the way for the creation of entire satellite and spacecraft structures. Reports of Boeing [141] and Airbus 2006 [142] had explored the potential of FSAM particularly, in the aerospace industry. As FSAM technology continues to advance, it is poised to effectively tackle a range of challenges in metal additive manufacturing, affirming its role as a game-changer in the industry. White [143] filed a ground-breaking patent for a Friction Stir Additive Manufacturing (FSAM) process in 2002 that consolidates metal powders and materials using friction joining. Three years later, in 2005, Thomas et al. [144] unveiled a revolutionary application of friction stir welding-based processes for additive manufacturing. In 2006, Airbus demonstrated the

incredible potential of friction-based additive manufacturing technology by fabricating 2050 Al-Li wing ribs, showcasing its ability to create low-cost, lightweight components [145,146]. By 2012, Boeing recognized the transformative power of friction-based additive manufacturing for the pre-forming of structural components, paving the way for rapid manufacturing and significantly enhancing material utilization [107,142]. High strength multi-material structures can be effectively built by this game changing technique. Designing a customized variety of multi-layered alloys along with functionally graded structures can be fabricated by FSAM method. Overall refinements in mechanical, metallurgical properties with fine equiaxed microstructure have been reported by latest research on FSAM [147-150]. Traditional additive manufacturing (AM) techniques encounter challenges when producing graded components due to variations in thermomechanical properties, thermal expansion coefficients, and melting points of parent materials. The formation of undesirable intermetallic compounds (IMCs) can weaken welds. However, these issues can be addressed through Friction Stir Additive Manufacturing (FSAM) [151,154]. In FSAM, the thermal expansion problem is mitigated as melting of materials to join is completely avoided, permitting only localized heat transfer due to its solid-state nature. The intense plastic motion and shearing action in FSAM facilitate the distribution and control of the size and uniformity of IMCs at the interface. Notably, FSAM processes can generate custom materials and alloys in a single operation. They also allow for the manipulation of alloy composition and microstructure by controlling the mixing of materials. Therefore, FSAM can be considered one of the most viable techniques to produce light weight multi-material structures [155-157]. FSAM has proven to be an effective method for producing defect-free components with excellent, uniform mechanical properties, an equiaxed refined grain structure, and a rapid production rate. This approach effectively addresses the limitations of existing melting-based additive manufacturing processes. Additionally, FSAM can be utilized to create bulk materials with an ultrafine grain structure.

The main challenge of this innovative process lies in eliminating defects by controlling the process parameters. Most studies have focused on basic parameters such as rotation speed, transverse speed, and tilt angle. However, there is a need to explore and investigate additional process parameters to achieve a sound microstructure and optimal mechanical properties [158]. FSAM, which combines the advantages of the AM (Additive Manufacturing) and FSW (Friction Stir Welding) methods, offers several benefits over traditional fusion welding methods. These advantages include energy efficiency, environmental friendliness, the ability to weld similar or dissimilar alloys, and reduced distortion. FSW is an effective welding process for joining dissimilar materials, resulting in lightweight and high-performance final products.[159] All the methods introduced above are free from the solidification-related imperfections often found in fusion-based processes. Key benefits of FSAM include reduced distortion, lower porosity, and the potential to fabricate larger parts, the capability for multi-material bonding, improved reproducibility, exceptional metallic properties, and the ability for microstructural engineering through tailored microstructures [160]. Currently, FSAM is at manufacturing readiness levels that allow for compact designs and scalability in high-technology applications [161]. FSAM induces complex material flow in the stir zone due to the rotating tool's stirring motion, which homogenizes the microstructure and promotes dynamic recrystallization. This leads to the formation of fine, equiaxed grains with improved mechanical properties as compared to the base metals or fusion welds exhibiting coarse grains and segregation. In multilayer FSAM, repeated thermal cycling due to subsequent passes affects microstructure progression. Grain sizes may vary along the build height, and multiple passes can refine precipitates and dissolve coarse intermetallics, further enhancing properties. Dynamic recrystallization also helps in redistributing alloying elements uniformly and eliminating defects like voids and cracks. The thermal cycles during layering control precipitate coarsening, dissolution, and

refinement, which influence hardness, tensile strength, and ductility [162]

3.3. Hybrid Solid-State Processes for Dissimilar Material Integration

Hybrid solid-state processes combine two or more joining mechanisms to leverage their individual strengths for enhanced multi-material integration. Multi-materials can combine friction stir technique with other methods to improve joint quality and extend the range of compatible materials. These hybrid approaches aim to overcome limitations of single processes, such as achieving higher joint strengths or reducing processing time for complex geometries. An example includes the combination of mechanical fastening with solid-state bonding, forming a hybrid joint that benefits from both load-bearing mechanisms. Another area involves integrating material deposition techniques with solid-state joining to create functionally graded interfaces, thereby mitigating abrupt property changes between dissimilar materials. The objective is to produce robust and durable multi-material structures that withstand demanding operational environments in aircraft and automobiles [163-164]. Weflen et al. (2021) introduced a method for fabricating multi-material objects by combining additive and subtractive manufacturing techniques. This hybrid approach allows for a diverse range of material compositions, enabling more effective fulfilment of functional requirements compared to using homogeneous materials produced through a single manufacturing process. The development of multi-material objects made from different materials has faced challenges due to the absence of a compatible structural interface suitable for in-envelope hybrid manufacturing. This study aims to expand design possibilities and optimize performance metrics [165]. Hybrid friction stir channelling (HC) represents a novel manufacturing technique that innovatively merges solid-state friction stir welding with friction stir processing. This sophisticated method not only facilitates the simultaneous welding of multiple components but also carves out intricate sub-surface channels precisely where they are needed in the stir zone. HC demonstrates an approach for unobstructed

sub-surface channelling and welding for multi-material components, significantly enhancing both their physical and chemical performance. A remarkable demonstration of HC's capabilities was achieved using a multi-material system comprising an 8 mm thick Aluminium-Magnesium alloy (designated as AA5083) and a 3 mm thick oxygen-free copper (Cu-OF) plate by Karvinen [et.al.](#) (2023). This process seamlessly crafted a multi-material Al-Cu component, showcasing its potential. A striking sub-surface quasi-rectangular channel, measuring 9.6 mm in width and 3.3 mm in height, was skillfully produced within the AA5083 alloy, while simultaneously ensuring defect-free welding with the thin Cu-OF plate situated just beneath the channel area. The resulting sub-surface channel revealed captivating wall surface features, boasting non-uniform and non-oriented surface roughness that are perfectly suited for activating turbulent fluid flow. Moreover, microhardness assessments unveiled a domain of heightened strength within the stirred material at the ceiling of the sub-surface channel, surpassing the properties of the base materials. This remarkable advancement not only highlights the ingenuity of HC but also opens new horizons for multi-material applications [166]. The solid-state welding method known as hybrid metal extrusion and bonding (HYB) has been developed relatively recently and was originally intended for butt joining aluminium (Al) plates and profiles. The HYB method works by filling the weld groove between the base materials (BMs) to be joined with a solid filler metal (FM), utilizing the principles of continuous extrusion. This process involves a specially designed extruder tool that features a non-consumable rotating steel pin equipped with a set of moving extrusion dies at its lower end. Research conducted by Bergh [et al.](#) (2023) explores the potential of this method by characterizing a unique butt joint made from aluminium, copper, steel, and titanium (Al-Cu-steel-Ti) created in a single pass. Their characterization highlights the significant potential of HYB for multi-material joining and provides essential insights into solid-state welding involving the bonding of aluminium to titanium, steel, and copper [167].

4. Material Lamination Architectures through FSAM

Different layers of material are stacked and joined to compile the required height of FSAM built. According to application area, surrounding environment, service condition and blend of customized properties, a number of layers of material are processed into a single working unit. Variation in material and geometrical dimensions of FSAM built give rise to different laminate architecture.

4.1. Identical Material Laminates

Laminates created out of stacks of the same material like Al Alloy laminate, Mg Alloy Laminate, Cu material laminates are fabricated using the FSAM process. This is eventually needed to build a larger height from average thickness plates [168].

4.1.1. Aluminum Based Laminates

Palanivel [et al.](#) (2015) in describing FSAM as an additive technique manufacturing high strength build fabricated by FSAM, laminated a total height of 11.2 mm from four sheets each of thickness 3.17 mm of aluminum alloy Al-5083 and found finer grain size, increased hardness in the build as compared to parent material. Improvement in both yield and ultimate tensile strength was also reported [142]. The work published by Palanivel laid the foundation stone for researchers. Yuqing [et al.](#) (2015) fabricated a height of about 42 mm from the nine-layer FSAMed unweldable Al 7075 (Al-Mg-Zn-Cu) alloy build. They reported increasing grain sizes in layers from top to bottom. Gradual increase in the strength and decrease in elongation in the direction of the build was reported [149]. Z. Zhao [et al.](#) (2019) stacking 2 mm thick Al-Li 2195-T8 alloy revealed inhomogeneous hardness and ductility throughout the build length [169]. Zhang [et al.](#) (2019) created an integrated model after experimenting on 4 mm thick AA6061-T6 plates and concluded that the hardness and yield strength increases with the increase in building height as an effect of the decrease in reheating peak temperature. Smaller average grain size was observed with the increase in building height. He [et al.](#) (2020) investigated microstructural evolution and changes in microhardness and tensile properties variation along building direction of 4mm thick 12 sheets of 7N01-T4 (Al-Zn-Mg) aluminum

alloy resulting in 42mm build height. The precipitates in the non-overlapping interface regions and the grain size were found to be increasing from the top to the bottom of the build [170]. Study made by M. Srivastava et. al. (2021) involving six layers of 4 mm thick plates of Al-5059 alloy showed higher hardness and thereby strength of laminated composite Lu et.al.(2021) fabricated two stacked lap weld builds with cast and wrought AA2050 (Al-Cu-Li). Cast AA2050 stack had no significant impact on the mechanical performance. Inhomogeneous distribution of hardness was reported along the vertical length [171]. Z. Shen et.al.(2022) fabricated defect-free build from unweldable Al 2195 alloy with 1mm thick three rolled plates and investigated influence on the microhardness and mechanical properties aiming at controlling and optimization of microstructure for further improvement of mechanical properties [172]. Li et. al. (2022) conducted underwater FSAM of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy of plate thickness 3.5 mm followed by natural aging for 7 days which revealed overaging in the low hardness zone (LHZ) of the build suggested low-temperature aging treatment to overcome over aging and improvement in microhardness and both yield and ultimate strength of laminate [173]. Hassan et.al. (2023) fabricated 4 layered laminates from aerospace aluminum alloy Al-7075. In order to optimize process parameters, understand material mixing and heat generation phenomenon experiments were conducted at different speed, feed and using different tool pin profiles. Mixing behavior using plain cylindrical, cylindrical threaded, and taper threaded pinned tools was analysed. It was observed that the taper threaded tool rotating at 500 and 1200 rpm gives good results [174].

4.1.2. Magnesium Based Laminates

Magnesium though lighter material was less experimented till date as compared to Aluminium alloys. Palanivel et al.(2015) built a multilayered stack consisting of four sheets of an WE43, Mg based alloy through FSAM to build height of 5.6 mm. Experimentation showed extremely fine, uniform and densely populated coherent precipitates resulted into superior strength and concluded that FSAM can be an effective route to fabricate high performance

magnesium alloy components[117]. A seven-layer laminate from AZ31 magnesium alloy 5-mm-thick plates was constructed by Wlodarski et al.(2021) produced structurally sound metallurgical bonding between layers without any volumetric welding defects and found microhardness variation in vertical height and in horizontal cross section[175]. Ho et al. (2020) have ingeniously crafted a stacked composite of AZ31B magnesium and hydroxyapatite using the innovative FSAM method. This composite not only tackles the persistent challenges of corrosion but also significantly enhances apatite formation and promotes better cell adhesion on the surface of the magnesium alloy. The findings compellingly demonstrate the superior corrosion resistance of AZ31B, validated through rigorous in-vitro testing conducted by the author [176,177].

4.2.Multi-material Laminates

To address the need of multifunctional laminates with tailored properties and refined microstructure, a variety of materials are designed and stacked to form multi-material laminates [168].

4.2.1. Fully Gradient structure

Fully gradient composite from 3 mm thick plates of Mg-AZ91, Cu, and Al-7075 was constructed by Srivastava et.al.(2021) [178]. Jha et.al.(2022) fabricated gradient structure using three Al alloys Al-5083-O, Al-6061-T6, and Al-7075-T6 formed height of 8.8 mm to develop a microstructure gradient composite [179].

4.2.2. Alternative Gradient Structure

Eight sheets of multi-layered polymer-steel composite were alternately stacked by H. Derazkola et.al. (2020) and evaluated mechanical properties, particularly, hardness, flexural bending and tensile strength to sought solution in the automobile industry[180]. Later on Derazkola et.al. (2022) proposed composite laminate utilizing the same technique for polypropylene (PP) and textile stainless steel material[181]. The flexural and tensile strength was reported to be greater than PP. Venkit et.al. (2022) constructed 18 mm thick laminate from 3mm thickness alternate seven layers of aluminium alloys AA6061-T6 and AA7075-T6. The grains in the bottom layers were observed to be coarser, while those in the top layers were finer. Additionally,

certain banded grains with a coarser texture form in the overlapping transition zones. The precipitate particles generated shown similar trends in their distribution, size, and structure. The study concluded that the FSAM approach holds tremendous potential for fabricating large structures that are not only free of defects but also exhibit expected mechanical properties. As a result, this innovative composite emerges as a compelling alternative to the conventional AA6061 material used in automobile components, offering significantly enhanced performance that can redefine industry standards [182]. Five alternate layers of AA6061-T6 and AA7075-T6 alloys were welded by one over the other in the research made by Kundurti et.al. (2023). AA7075-T6 being harder was kept at top as it can be flown easily into comparatively softer AA6061-T6 alloy. AA6061/AA7075 metal matrix composite manufactured through the FSAM route showed promising results with enhanced microhardness and tensile strength [157].

4.2.3. Sandwich Structure

Laminated composites are materials made up of several layers of different substances, widely used across various industries. Interstitial-free (IF) steel sheets are known for their excellent drawability and surface quality, although they have lower strength compared to low carbon steel sheets like St52. In contrast, St52 steel sheets offer good strength but tend to have lower surface quality. By combining the properties of these two types of steel sheets, a laminated structure was created by Roodgari et.al. (2020) that incorporates the strengths of both. This approach is particularly useful in automotive components, such as pillars, which require high strength for safety alongside very good surface quality. The IF/St52 steel laminated composite, with thicknesses of 0.7mm and 2mm, respectively, is prepared using the FSAM method. The results indicated that the layers exhibited strong bonding, and the interface was well-defined at lower travel speeds. However, as the traverse speed increased, diffusion occurred at the interface [183]. Tan et.al. (2021) used FSAM method to produce aluminium matrix composites reinforced with nanoparticles. In the initial stirring process, two 4 mm thick slotted

plates and one 2 mm thick cover plate are stacked. To investigate the effect of re-stirring, an additional 4 mm thick plate is stacked for the second layer. The slots designed to hold the nanoparticles are 1.5 mm wide and 2 mm deep. All plates, including the slotted and cover plates, are made from AA6061-T6 aluminium alloy. Samples that include Al₂O₃ nanoparticles exhibit finer grain distributions and increased hardness when processed using FSAM. The re-stirring process in FSAM reduces the aggregation of nanoparticles, resulting in greater hardness and a decrease in grain size [184].

4.3. Similar Multi-Material Laminates

FSAM offers a novel pathway to fabricate layered or composite multi-material structures by exploiting the solid-state joining of similar or dissimilar Al and Mg alloys. The primary objective is to develop defect-free, high-strength joints with controlled microstructure and reduced formation of detrimental phases such as thick IMCs. This is achieved by precise control over thermal cycles, tool design, and process parameters thereby enhancing bonding effectiveness and mechanical properties of the joined components [185]. The approach holds significant industrial relevance, especially in sectors requiring complex geometries, lightweight materials with high structural integrity, and corrosion-resistant joints. By building multi-layered composites incorporating alternating or hybrid layers of aluminium and magnesium alloys, FSAM enables customization of mechanical and functional properties tailored to application needs in aeronautics, automotive parts manufacturing, and marine structures. The method's ability to minimize secondary machining and produce large, defect-free builds further adds to its economic and technical potential.[186] In joining similar Al alloys such as AA6061 and AA7075 via FSAM, microstructural evolution is characterized by dynamic recrystallization producing refined and equiaxed grains in the stir zone [187]. The process disrupts initial coarse grain structures and precipitate clusters, leading to a more homogeneous microstructure with optimized distribution of strengthening phases. Thermal cycling during multi-layer additive building impacts precipitate size, morphology, and distribution, influencing the

hardness and strength gradients throughout the build height [188]. Magnesium alloys such as AZ31 and AZ61 exhibit unique challenges in FSAM due to their high chemical reactivity and limited ductility. The process induces grain refinement through dynamic recrystallization, resulting in finer equiaxed grains in the stir zone. Variations in alloying elements and process conditions influence phase transformations and precipitate dissolution during the thermal cycles inherent in FSAM [189]. FSAM's controlled heat input minimizes detrimental overaging or dissolution of beneficial strengthening precipitates, preserving or enhancing mechanical properties in the joints. The microstructure typically shows uniform grain refinement and reduced segregation, which are directly correlated with mechanical performance improvements noted in these alloys. The refined microstructure enhances mechanical uniformity and eliminates casting defects present in base materials. Grain size control via optimal welding speeds and rotation rates is essential to achieving consistent properties across the additive build. FSAM processing of Mg alloys improves hardness, tensile strength, and wear resistance significantly [190].

4.4. Dissimilar Multi-Material Laminates

Dissimilar welding, which refers to the process of joining two or more materials that have different compositions, presents a range of challenges compared to welding similar materials. Joining Al and Mg alloys through FSAM inherently leads to the formation of intermetallic compounds such as Mg_2Al_3 and Al_3Mg_2 at the interface. These IMCs form thin but brittle layers that can severely compromise joint ductility and tensile strength. The interface morphology is characterized by a complex arrangement of diffusion layers, oxide inclusions, and fragmented IMC particles [191,192]. The integration of diverse materials, particularly aluminium (Al), magnesium (Mg), and titanium (Ti) alloys, presents compelling metallurgical challenges when employing conventional fusion welding. These challenges often manifest as the formation of brittle intermetallic compounds (IMCs) and significant residual stresses [193]. However, solid-state manufacturing processes offer a sophisticated solution by enabling the joining or consolidation of

materials below the melting point of the constituent alloys. This innovative approach not only preserves the intrinsic properties of the base materials but also minimizes distortion, allowing for the creation of robust metallurgical bonds between otherwise incompatible alloy systems. It's a transformative method that unlocks new possibilities in materials engineering [194,195]. Dixit et.al. (2023) developed a multipurpose three-layered multifunctional laminated composite from magnesium-based AZ31B–Zn and Al 1100 as demanded in electronics and biomedical fields through the FSAM route. Conventional Mg alloy implants release hydrogen gas and thereby the body cell gets detached from the bone. The proposed FSAM method adds a biocompatible layer to overcome this issue. The microstructure of the developed composite revealed a significant improvement in the grain structure at the interfaces of the dissimilar materials. The crystallographic structure is effectively oriented from the advancing side to the retreating side, featuring equiaxed and refined grains that contribute to a high density of grain boundaries. This refinement, combined with the microstructural modification techniques employed in Friction Stir Additive Manufacturing (FSAM), not only enhances the grain characteristics but also leads to notable improvements in the overall mechanical properties of the composite [196].

4.5. Challenges in Dissimilar Welding

In the context of dissimilar welding, it is essential to acknowledge and thoughtfully consider several important challenges [197-200], including: Incompatibility of Material: Dissimilar materials often possess varying melting points, thermal conductivities, and coefficients of thermal expansion. Such differences can result in challenges, including cracking, distortion, and residual stresses during the welding process. To ensure effective fusion and metallurgical bonding between these diverse materials, it is imperative to meticulously control welding parameters and apply the appropriate techniques. This strategic approach will enhance the overall quality and integrity of the weldment. Microstructural inhomogeneity: When dealing with dissimilar materials, it is essential to acknowledge

that they may exhibit distinct microstructures, grain sizes, and phases. By understanding these variations, we can effectively address the potential differences in mechanical properties within the weld joint. Aiming for a more uniform microstructure can help reduce localized stress concentrations, thereby enhancing the joint's resilience to cracking or failure under mechanical or thermal loads. This thoughtful approach can greatly improve the overall integrity of the weld. Corrosion susceptibility: dissimilar materials are prone to galvanic corrosion due to formation of bimetallic couples at the weld interface. The differing electrochemical potentials between these materials can significantly elevate corrosion rates, posing a serious threat to the long-term durability of the weld joint. To safeguard against these risks, it is vital to select the right filler materials and to employ effective post-weld treatments. By doing so, we can enhance the resilience of our welds and ensure their integrity for years to come.

IMC Formation: When dissimilar materials are fused together, intermetallic compounds (IMCs) can form at the interface. These compounds have the potential to dramatically affect both the mechanical properties and the corrosion resistance of the welded joint. The presence of brittle IMCs can severely compromise the integrity of the weld, resulting in diminished strength and ductility. Thus, the quest to create robust welds while effectively reducing IMC formation presents a formidable challenge in the realm of dissimilar welding—a challenge that calls for innovation and precision.

Thermal Gradient Effects: Dissimilar welding creates significant thermal gradients in the weld zone because of the differences in thermal conductivity and heat capacity of the materials being joined. These thermal gradients can result in distortion, warping, and residual stresses, especially in thicker or more complex structures. Effectively managing these thermal gradients is crucial for preventing weld defects and ensuring dimensional stability.

Complexity In Process Optimization: Welding dissimilar materials presents a fascinating challenge that it requires control over various parameters, including heat input, travel speed, shielding gas composition, and interpass temperature management.

The intricate interplay among these factors and their profound impact on weld quality and performance call for thorough experimentation and meticulous validation of the welding process. Successfully navigating the complexities of dissimilar welding demands not only a deep understanding of material properties, welding techniques, and joint design considerations, but also a passion for innovation. To meet these challenges head-on and push the boundaries of dissimilar welding technology, we must embrace cutting-edge welding methods, engage in advanced material characterization, and leverage sophisticated computational modelling techniques. Mitigation of these challenges requires carefully balancing heat input and manipulating interfacial reactions. The use of interlayers, such as thin nickel foils, has been demonstrated to alter intermetallic chemistry, forming more ductile phases (e.g., Mg₂Ni) and increasing the effective load-bearing area within the weld nugget. These advances have led to tensile strength improvements exceeding 10% compared to joints without interlayer. Witnessing the advantages of multi-material approaches for part manufacture confirms that there is a need to generate different combinations of materials. These multi-material parts or structures need to be carefully designed and examined to take full advantage. Economy, investment, quality, energy level, minimal material wastage, lightweight production, low-cost processes and its ability to easily fabricate complex shapes, along with being affordable and environmentally friendly.

Conclusion

Multi-material manufacturing techniques play a pivotal role in designing lightweight, energy efficient, economical structures in tailored fashion. This review explores the unique advantages and challenges of both solid-state and fusion-based approaches. Achieving successful integration hinges on precise interface engineering, effective management of residual stresses, and ensuring material compatibility. Friction stir additive manufacturing (FSAM), a subset of friction stir welding (FSW), offers a reliable solid-state method for fabricating multi-material laminates, particularly those made from aluminium (Al) and magnesium

(Mg) alloys, which are in high demand in the aerospace, automotive, and marine sectors. This technology effectively addresses the challenges associated with fusion welding, such as solidification defects and microstructural degradation, especially in light alloys. Key findings reveal that FSAM consistently generates fine-grained, equiaxed microstructures in the stirred zone through dynamic recrystallization, which enhances crucial mechanical properties, including tensile strength and hardness. Although creating dissimilar Al/Mg joints presents its own set of difficulties, careful control of process parameters enables us to prevent the formation of brittle intermetallic compounds, opening the door to significant advancements in the field. Further research should concentrate on expanding high-fidelity computational models that accurately predict microstructural evolution, residual stress profiles, and fatigue performance for complex multi-pass and multi-material FSAM processes is essential for design and optimization. Additionally, continued investigation into novel interlayers, surface treatments, and post treatment to effectively manage and control intermetallic compound formation at dissimilar Al/Mg interfaces will improve joint reliability and broaden application scope. Furthermore, the integration of AI tools for real-time monitoring and adaptive control that integrate thermal data, material flow characteristics, and defect detection algorithms would enable more consistent and higher-quality manufacturing outcomes.

References

- [1]. Zindani, D., Lakshminarayanan, A. K., Joshua, A., Cep, R., & Logesh, K. (2025). Acoustic emission approach to optimize friction stir additive manufacturing process for magnesium alloy ZE41. *Frontiers in Mechanical Engineering*, 11, 1412251. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmech.2025.1412251>
- [2]. Henriksson, F., & Johansen, K. (2016). An outlook on multi material body solutions in the automotive industry—possibilities and manufacturing challenges. In *SAE 2016 World Congress and Exhibition*. Society of Automotive Engineers. doi: 10.4271/2016-01-1332.
- [3]. Altiparmak, S.C. (2020). Challenges and Limitations in Additive Manufacturing of Aluminium Alloys for the Aerospace Industry, *International Journal of Scientific and Technological Research* Vol.6, No.5. doi: 10.7176/jstr/6-05-04.
- [4]. Mohd Yusuf, S., Cutler, S., & Gao, N. (2019). The impact of metal additive manufacturing on the aerospace industry. *Metals*, 9(12), 1286. doi: 10.3390/met9121286.
- [5]. Taji, Y. (1934). Nickel Alloys in Shipbuilding and Marine Engineering. *Journal of Zosen Kiokai*, 1934(54), 233-269. doi: 10.2534/jjasnaoe1903.1934.233.
- [6]. Thipprakmas, S., Jarfors, A. E., & Jirathearanat, S. (2019). Computational Methods in Design and Manufacturing Processes. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2019, NA-NA. doi: 10.1155/2019/1920871.
- [7]. Baqer, Y. M., Ramesh, S., Yusof, F., & Manladan, S. M. (2018). Challenges and advances in laser welding of dissimilar light alloys: Al/Mg, Al/Ti, and Mg/Ti alloys. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 95(9), 4353-4369. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-017-1565-6>
- [8]. Manladan, S. M., Yusof, F., Ramesh, S., Fadzil, M., Luo, Z., & Ao, S. (2017). A review on resistance spot welding of aluminum alloys. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 90(1), 605-634. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-016-9225-9>
- [9]. Haddadi, F. (2016). Microstructure reaction control of dissimilar automotive aluminium to galvanized steel sheets ultrasonic spot welding. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 678, 72-84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2016.09.093>
- [10]. Tan, C., Chen, B., Meng, S., Zhang, K., Song, X., Zhou, L., & Feng, J. (2016). Microstructure and mechanical properties of laser welded-brazed Mg/Ti joints with AZ91 Mg based filler. *Materials & Design*, 99, 127-

134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2016.03.073>
- [11]. Gao, M., Wang, Z. M., Yan, J., & Zeng, X. Y. (2011). Dissimilar Ti/Mg alloy butt welding by fibre laser with Mg filler wire—preliminary study. *Science and Technology of Welding and Joining*, 16(6), 488-496. <https://doi.org/10.1179/1362171811Y.0000000033>
- [12]. Chen, Y., Chen, S., & Li, L. (2010). Influence of interfacial reaction layer morphologies on crack initiation and propagation in Ti/Al joint by laser welding—brazing. *Materials & Design*, 31(1), 227-233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2009.06.029>
- [13]. Kamavaram, V., Arumugam, G. K., Veedu, V., & Cheung, K. (2012, June). A Novel Nanomaterial Hybrid Corrosion Resistant Coating for Marine Applications. In *ECS Meeting Abstracts* (No. 20, p. 2108). IOP Publishing. doi: 10.1149/ma2012-02/20/2108.
- [14]. Tisza, M., & Czinege, I. (2018). Comparative study of the application of steels and aluminium in lightweight production of automotive parts. *International Journal of Lightweight Materials and Manufacture*, 1(4), 229-238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijlmm.2018.09.001>
- [15]. Szczucka-Lasota, B., Węgrzyn, T., & Jurek, A. (2020). Aluminum alloy welding in automotive industry. *Transport Problems*, 15(3), 67-78. doi: 10.21307/tp-2020-034.
- [16]. Calignano, F. (2020). Additive manufacturing (AM) of metallic alloys. *Crystals*, 10(8), 704. doi: 10.3390/cryst10080704.
- [17]. Tamirisakandala, S. A., & Kamal, M. (2020). Ti-6Al-2Sn-2Zr-2Mo-2Cr Alloy for High Strength Aerospace Fasteners. In *MATEC Web of Conferences* (Vol. 321, p. 11041). EDP Sciences. doi: 10.1051/mateconf/202032111041.
- [18]. A book by Dada, M., Popoola, P., Adeosun, S., & Mathe, N. (2019). High entropy alloys for aerospace applications. In *Aerodynamics*. London, UK: IntechOpen.
- [19]. Injeti Gurrappa, I. V. S., & Gogia, A. K. (2016). Selecting Appropriate Metallic Alloy for Marine Gas Turbine Engine Compressor Components. *Progress in Metallic Alloys*, 247. doi: 10.5772/64329.
- [20]. A book by Daehn, G. S. (2014). Sustainable design and manufacture of lightweight vehicle structures. In *Alternative fuels and advanced vehicle technologies for improved environmental performance* (pp. 433-461). Woodhead Publishing.
- [21]. Li, P., Yan, Z., & Yang, Y. (2024). Progress in automobile body processing technology: Multi-material and lightweight strategies for saving energy and reducing emissions. *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering*, 46(5), 324. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40430-024-04928-5> [CrossRef]
- [22]. Bader, B., Türck, E., & Vietor, T. (2019, March). Multi material design. A current overview of the used potential in automotive industries. In *Technologies for economical and functional lightweight design: Conference proceedings 2018* (pp. 3-13). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-58206-0_1. [CrossRef]
- [23]. Cui, X., Zhang, H., Wang, S., Zhang, L., & Ko, J. (2011). Design of lightweight multi-material automotive bodies using new material performance indices of thin-walled beams for the material selection with crashworthiness consideration. *Materials & Design*, 32(2), 815-821. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2010.07.018>
- [24]. Naito, J., & Suzuki, R. (2020). Multi-material automotive bodies and dissimilar joining technology to realize multi-material. *Kobelco technology review*, 38(3), 32-7.
- [25]. Rogal, L. (2017). Critical assessment: opportunities in developing semi-solid processing: aluminium, magnesium, and high-temperature alloys. *Materials Science and Technology*, 33(7), 759-764. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02670836.2017.1295212>

- [26]. Meengam, C., & Sillapasa, K. (2020). Evaluation of optimization parameters of semi-solid metal 6063 aluminum alloy from friction stir welding process using factorial design analysis. *Journal of Manufacturing and Materials Processing*, 4(4), 123. doi: 10.3390/jmmp4040123.
- [27]. Cevik, B., & Koc, M. J. K. M. (2019). The effects of welding speed on the microstructure and mechanical properties of marine-grade aluminium (AA5754) alloy joined using MIG welding. *Metallic Materials/Kovové Materiály*, 57(5).doi: 10.4149/ km_2019_5_307.
- [28]. Kim, H. C., & Wallington, T. J. (2013). Life-cycle energy and greenhouse gas emission benefits of lightweighting in automobiles: review and harmonization. *Environmental science & technology*, 47(12), 6089-6097. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es3042115>
- [29]. Blanco, D., Rubio, E. M., Marín, M. M., & Davim, J. P. (2021). Advanced materials and multi-materials applied in aeronautical and automotive fields: A systematic review approach. *Procedia CIRP*, 99, 196-201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2021.03.027>
- [30]. Skaszek, T., Conklin, J., Wagner, D., & Zaluzec, M. (2015). Multi-material lightweight vehicles. US DOE, 2015 Annual Merit Review Presentation, 1-27. [CrossRef]
- [31]. Viswanadhapalli, B., & Bupesh Raja, V. K. (2019, March). Application of magnesium alloys in automotive industry-a review. In *International Conference on Emerging Current Trends in Computing and Expert Technology* (pp. 519-531). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-32150-5_50
- [32]. Kumar, D. S., Sasanka, C. T., Ravindra, K., & Suman, K. N. S. (2015). Magnesium and its alloys in automotive applications—a review. *Am. J. Mater. Sci. Technol*, 4(1), 12-30. doi:10.7726/ajmst.2015.1002
- [33]. Golroudbary, S. R., Makarava, I., Repo, E., Kraslawski, A., & Luukka, P. (2022). Magnesium life cycle in automotive industry. *Procedia CIRP*, 105, 589-594. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2022.02.098>
- [34]. Gurrappa, I., Yashwanth, I. V. S., & Gogia, A. K. (2012). The selection of materials for marine gas turbine engines. Efficiency, performance and robustness of gas turbines. London: Intech open, 51-70. doi: 10.5772/38436.
- [35]. Blanco, D., Rubio, E. M., Lorente-Pedreille, R. M., & Saenz-Nuno, M. A. (2021). Sustainable processes in aluminium, magnesium, and titanium alloys applied to the transport sector: a review. *Metals*, 12(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/met12010009>.
- [36]. Blanco, D., Rubio, E. M., Lorente-Pedreille, R. M., & Saenz-Nuno, M. A. (2021). Lightweight structural materials in open access: latest trends. *Materials*, 14(21), 6577. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14216577>.
- [37]. Meschut, G., Janzen, V., & Olfermann, T. (2014). Innovative and highly productive joining technologies for multi-material lightweight car body structures. *Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance*, 23(5), 1515-1523. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-014-0962-3>.
- [38]. Han, D., & Lee, H. (2020). Recent advances in multi-material additive manufacturing: methods and applications. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*, 28, 158-166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coche.2020.03.004>.
- [39]. Verma, A., Kapil, A., Klobcar, D., & Sharma, A. (2023). A review on multiplicity in multi-material additive manufacturing: process, capability, scale, and structure. *Materials*, 16(15), 5246. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16155246>
- [40]. Toursangsaraki, M. (2018). A review of multi-material and composite parts production by modified additive manufacturing methods. arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.01861. [CrossRef]
- [41]. Bartolomeu, F., & Silva, F. S. (2022). Multi-material additive manufacturing for advanced high-tech components. *Materials*, 15(18), 6433. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15186433>

- [42]. Gonzalez-Gutierrez, J., Cano, S., Schuschnigg, S., Kukla, C., Sapkota, J., & Holzer, C. (2018). Additive manufacturing of metallic and ceramic components by the material extrusion of highly-filled polymers: A review and future perspectives. *Materials*, 11(5), 840. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11050840>
- [43]. Bandyopadhyay, A. Heer, B. (2018). Additive manufacturing of multi-material structures. *Mater. Sci. Eng. R Rep.* 129, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mser.2018.04.001> [CrossRef]
- [44]. Nazir, A., Gokcekaya, O., Billah, K. M. M., Ertugrul, O., Jiang, J., Sun, J., & Hussain, S. (2023). Multi-material additive manufacturing: A systematic review of design, properties, applications, challenges, and 3D printing of materials and cellular metamaterials. *Materials & Design*, 226, 111661. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2023.111661>
- [45]. Zheng, X., Williams, C., Spadaccini, C. M., & Shea, K. (2021). Perspectives on multi-material additive manufacturing. *Journal of Materials Research*, 36(18), 3549-3557. <https://doi.org/10.1557/s43578-021-00388-y>
- [46]. Singh, V. P., Patel, S. K., Ranjan, A., & Kuriachen, B. (2020). Recent research progress in solid state friction-stir welding of aluminium–magnesium alloys: a critical review. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 9(3), 6217-6256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2020.01.008>
- [47]. Schneck, M., Horn, M., Schmitt, M., Seidel, C., Schlick, G., & Reinhart, G. (2021). Review on additive hybrid-and multi-material-manufacturing of metals by powder bed fusion: state of technology and development potential. *Progress in Additive Manufacturing*, 6(4), 881-894. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40964-021-00205-2>
- [48]. Manladan, S. M., Yusof, F., Ramesh, S., & Fadzil, M. (2016). A review on resistance spot welding of magnesium alloys. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 86(5), 1805-1825. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-015-8258-9>
- [49]. Vaidya, W. V., Horstmann, M., Ventzke, V., Petrovski, B., Koçak, M., Kocik, R., & Tempus, G. (2009). Structure-property investigations on a laser beam welded dissimilar joint of aluminium AA6056 and titanium Ti6Al4V for aeronautical applications Part I: Local gradients in microstructure, hardness and strength. *Material Science & Engineering Technology*, vol 40(8), 623-633. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mawe.200900366>
- [50]. Liu, L. (2010). Introduction to the welding and joining of magnesium. In *Welding and joining of magnesium alloys* (pp. 3-8). Woodhead Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857090423.1.3>
- [51]. Manladan, S. M., Yusof, F., Ramesh, S., Fadzil, M., Luo, Z., & Ao, S. (2017). A review on resistance spot welding of aluminum alloys. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 90(1), 605-634. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-016-9225-9>
- [52]. Han, L., Thornton, M., & Shergold, M. (2010). A comparison of the mechanical behaviour of self-piercing riveted and resistance spot welded aluminium sheets for the automotive industry. *Materials & Design*, 31(3), 1457-1467. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2009.08.031>
- [53]. Ambroziak, A., & Korzeniowski, M. (2010). Using resistance spot welding for joining aluminium elements in automotive industry. *Archives of civil and Mechanical Engineering*, 10(1), 5-13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1644-9665\(12\)60126-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1644-9665(12)60126-5)
- [54]. Liu, L., Liu, X., & Liu, S. (2006). Microstructure of laser-TIG hybrid welds of dissimilar Mg alloy and Al alloy with Ce as interlayer. *Scripta Materialia*, 55(4), 383-386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2006.04.025> [CrossRef]

- [55]. Liu, L., Wang, H., Song, G., & Ye, J. N. (2007). Microstructure characteristics and mechanical properties of laser weld bonding of magnesium alloy to aluminum alloy. *Journal of materials science*, 42(2), 565-572. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-006-1068-6>
- [56]. Liu, L. M., Wang, H. Y., & Zhang, Z. D. (2007). The analyses of laser weld bonding of Al alloy to Mg alloy. *Scripta Materialia*, 56(6), 473-476. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2006.11.034> [CrossRef]
- [57]. Liu, L., & Jiang, J. (2010). The effect of adhesive on arc behaviors of laser-TIG hybrid weld bonding process of Mg to Al alloy. *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science*, 39(1), 581-586. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPS.2010.2089993>
- [58]. Wang, H. Y., Liu, L. M., & Jia, Z. Y. (2011). The influence of adhesive on the Al alloy in laser weld bonding Mg–Al process. *Journal of materials science*, 46(16), 5534-5540. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-011-5498-4>
- [59]. Wang, H., Liu, L., & Liu, F. (2013). The characterization investigation of laser-arc-adhesive hybrid welding of Mg to Al joint using Ni interlayer. *Materials & Design*, 50, 463-466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.02.085>
- [60]. Qi, X. D., & Liu, L. M. (2012). Fusion welding of Fe-added lap joints between AZ31B magnesium alloy and 6061 aluminum alloy by hybrid laser–tungsten inert gas welding technique. *Materials & Design*, 33, 436-443. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2011.04.046>
- [61]. Gao, M., Mei, S., Li, X., & Zeng, X. (2012). Characterization and formation mechanism of laser-welded Mg and Al alloys using Ti interlayer. *Scripta Materialia*, 67(2), 193-196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2012.04.015>
- [62]. Chang, W. S., Rajesh, S. R., Chun, C. K., & Kim, H. J. (2011). Microstructure and mechanical properties of hybrid laser-friction stir welding between AA6061-T6 Al alloy and AZ31 Mg alloy. *Journal of Materials Science & Technology*, 27(3), 199-204. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1005-0302\(11\)60049-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1005-0302(11)60049-2)
- [63]. Scherm, F., Bezold, J., & Glatzel, U. (2012). Laser welding of Mg alloy MgAl₃Zn₁ (AZ31) to Al alloy AlMg₃ (AA5754) using ZnAl filler material. *Science and Technology of Welding and Joining*, 17(5), 364-367. <https://doi.org/10.1179/136217112X13333824902080>
- [64]. Chen, S. H., Li, L. Q., Chen, Y. B., & Liu, D. J. (2010). Si diffusion behavior during laser welding-brazing of Al alloy and Ti alloy with Al-12Si filler wire. *Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China*, 20(1), 64-70. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326\(09\)60098-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326(09)60098-4)
- [65]. Wang, H. Y., Zhang, Z. D., & Liu, L. M. (2013). The effect of galvanized iron interlayer on the intermetallics in the laser weld bonding of Mg to Al fusion zone. *Journal of materials engineering and performance*, 22(2), 351-357. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-012-0260-x>
- [66]. Srivastava, M. (2015). Some studies on layout of generative manufacturing processes for functional components. Delhi University, India. [CrossRef]
- [67]. Srivastava, M., Maheshwari, S., & Kundra, T. K. (2015). Virtual modelling and simulation of functionally graded material component using FDM technique. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 2(4-5), 3471-3480. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2015.07.323>
- [68]. Srivastava, M., Maheshwari, S., Kundra, T. K., & Rathee, S. (2016). Estimation of the effect of process parameters on build time and model material volume for FDM process optimization by response surface methodology and grey relational analysis. In *Advances in 3D printing & additive manufacturing technologies* (pp. 29-38). Singapore: Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-0812-2_3
- [69]. Srivastava, M., Rathee, S., Maheshwari, S., & Kundra, T. K. (2019). Additive

manufacturing: fundamentals and advancements. CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781351049382>

- [70]. Kulkarni, P., Marsan, A., & Dutta, D. (2000). A review of process planning techniques in layered manufacturing. *Rapid prototyping journal*, 6(1), 18-35. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13552540010309859>
- [71]. Huang, Y., Leu, M. C., Mazumder, J., & Donmez, A. (2015). Additive manufacturing: current state, future potential, gaps and needs, and recommendations. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 137(1), 014001. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4028725>
- [72]. Luo, Y., Ji, Z., Leu, M. C., & Caudill, R. (1999, May). Environmental performance analysis of solid freedom fabrication processes. In *Proceedings of the 1999 IEEE international symposium on electronics and the environment* (Cat. No. 99CH36357) (pp. 1-6). IEEE. DOI: 10.1109/ISEE.1999.765837
- [73]. Drizo, A., & Pegna, J. (2006). Environmental impacts of rapid prototyping: an overview of research to date. *Rapid prototyping journal*, 12(2), 64-71. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13552540610652393>
- [74]. Ranjan, R., Kumar, D., Kundu, M., & Moi, S. C. (2022). A critical review on Classification of materials used in 3D printing process. *Materials today: proceedings*, 61, 43-49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.03.308> [CrossRef]
- [75]. Gu, D. D., Meiners, W., Wissenbach, K., & Poprawe, R. (2012). Laser additive manufacturing of metallic components: materials, processes and mechanisms. *International materials reviews*, 57(3), 133-164. <https://doi.org/10.1179/1743280411Y.0000000014>
- [76]. Frazier, W. E. (2014). Metal additive manufacturing: a review. *Journal of Materials Engineering and performance*, 23(6), 1917-1928. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-014-0958-z>
- [77]. ASTM Committee F42 on Additive Manufacturing Technologies, & ASTM Committee F42 on Additive Manufacturing Technologies. Subcommittee F42. 91 on Terminology. (2012). *Standard terminology for additive manufacturing technologies*. Astm International. [CrossRef]
- [78]. Mohanty, S., & Hattel, J. H. (2017, February). Laser additive manufacturing of multi-material tool inserts: a simulation-based optimization study. In *Laser 3D Manufacturing IV* (Vol. 10095, pp. 24-33). SPIE. doi: 10.1117/12.2253600.
- [79]. Askari, M., Tuck, C. J., Hu, Q., Hague, R. J. M., & Wildman, R. D. (2019). Multi-material Manufacture Through Combining Optical Tweezers with Multiphoton Fabrication. *Journal of Laser Micro/Nanoengineering*, 14(1). doi: 10.2961/jlmn.2019.01.0014.
- [80]. Mirzaali, M. J., Caracciolo, A., Pahlavani, H., Janbaz, S., Vergani, L., & Zadpoor, A. A. (2018). Multi-material 3D printed mechanical metamaterials: Rational design of elastic properties through spatial distribution of hard and soft phases. *Applied Physics Letters*, 113(24). doi: 10.1063/1.5064864.
- [81]. Morales-Planas, S., Minguella-Canela, J., Lluma-Fuentes, J., Travieso-Rodriguez, J. A., & García-Granada, A. A. (2018). Multi Jet Fusion PA12 manufacturing parameters for watertightness, strength and tolerances. *Materials*, 11(8), 1472. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11081472>
- [82]. Nazir, A., Gokcekaya, O., Billah, K. M. M., Ertugrul, O., Jiang, J., Sun, J., & Hussain, S. (2023). Multi-material additive manufacturing: A systematic review of design, properties, applications, challenges, and 3D printing of materials and cellular metamaterials. *Materials & Design*, 226, 111661. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2023.111661>
- [83]. Sagong, M. J., Kim, E. S., Park, J. M., Karthik, G. M., Lee, B. J., Cho, J. W., ... & Kim, H. S. (2022). Interface characteristics and mechanical behavior of additively

- manufactured multi-material of stainless steel and Inconel. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 847, 143318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2022.143318>
- [84]. Wei, C., Li, L., Zhang, X., & Chueh, Y. H. (2018). 3D printing of multiple metallic materials via modified selective laser melting. *CIRP Annals*, 67(1), 245-248. doi:10.1016/j.cirp.2018.04.096
- [85]. Sing, S. L., Huang, S., Goh, G. D., Goh, G. L., Tey, C. F., Tan, J. H. K., & Yeong, W. Y. (2021). Emerging metallic systems for additive manufacturing: In-situ alloying and multi-metal processing in laser powder bed fusion. *Progress in Materials Science*, 119, 100795. doi: 10.1016/j.pmatsci.2021.100795
- [86]. Chen, K., Wang, C., Hong, Q., Wen, S., Zhou, Y., Yan, C., & Shi, Y. (2020). Selective laser melting 316L/CuSn10 multi-materials: Processing optimization, interfacial characterization and mechanical property. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 283, 116701. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2020.116701>
- [87]. Ding, Z., Yuan, C., Peng, X., Wang, T., Qi, H. J., & Dunn, M. L. (2017). Direct 4D printing via active composite materials. *Science advances*, 3(4), e1602890. DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.1602890
- [88]. Sundaram, S., Skouras, M., Kim, D. S., van den Heuvel, L., & Matusik, W. (2019). Topology optimization and 3D printing of multi-material magnetic actuators and displays. *Science advances*, 5(7), eaaw1160. DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aaw1160
- [89]. Sitthi-Amorn, P., Ramos, J. E., Wangy, Y., Kwan, J., Lan, J., Wang, W., & Matusik, W. (2015). MultiFab: a machine vision assisted platform for multi-material 3D printing. *Acm Transactions on Graphics (Tog)*, 34(4), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2766962>
- [90]. Ledesma-Fernandez, J., Tuck, C., & Hague, R. (2015). High viscosity jetting of conductive and dielectric pastes for printed electronics. In *Proceedings of the International Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium; Texas*: 2015:40-55.
- [91]. Overmeyer, L., Hohnholz, A., Suttman, O., & Kaierle, S. (2019). Multi-material laser direct writing of aerosol jet layered polymers. *CIRP Annals*, 68(1), 217-220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2019.04.115>
- [92]. Mayer, F., Richter, S., Westhauser, J., Blasco, E., Barner-Kowollik, C., & Wegener, M. (2019). Multi-material 3D laser microprinting using an integrated microfluidic system. *Science advances*, 5(2), eaau9160. DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aau9160
- [93]. Han, D., & Lee, H. (2020). Recent advances in multi-material additive manufacturing: methods and applications. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*, 28, 158-166. doi:10.1016/j.coche.2020.03.004
- [94]. Hasanov, S., Alkunte, S., Rajeshirke, M., Gupta, A., Huseynov, O., Fidan, I., Segbaya, A. & Rennie, A. (2021). Review on additive manufacturing of multi-material parts: Progress and challenges. *Journal of Manufacturing and Materials Processing*, 6(1), 4. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jmmp6010004>
- [95]. Rathee, S., Srivastava, M., Pandey, P. M., Mahawar, A., & Shukla, S. (2021). Metal additive manufacturing using friction stir engineering: A review on microstructural evolution, tooling and design strategies. *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, 35, 560-588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirpj.2021.08.003>. [CrossRef]
- [96]. Carroll, B. E., Otis, R. A., Borgonia, J. P., Suh, J. O., Dillon, R. P., Shapiro, A. A., ... & Beese, A. M. (2016). Functionally graded material of 304L stainless steel and inconel 625 fabricated by directed energy deposition: Characterization and thermodynamic modeling. *Acta Materialia*, 108, 46-54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2016.02.019> [CrossRef]
- [97]. Carroll, B. E., Palmer, T. A., & Beese, A. M. (2015). Anisotropic tensile behavior of Ti-6Al-4V components fabricated with directed

- energy deposition additive manufacturing. *Acta Materialia*, 87, 309-320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2014.12.054>
- [98]. Wang, Z., Palmer, T. A., & Beese, A. M. (2016). Effect of processing parameters on microstructure and tensile properties of austenitic stainless steel 304L made by directed energy deposition additive manufacturing. *Acta Materialia*, 110, 226-235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2016.03.019> [CrossRef]
- [99]. Elahinia, M., Moghaddam, N. S., Andani, M. T., Amerinatanzi, A., Bimber, B. A., & Hamilton, R. F. (2016). Fabrication of NiTi through additive manufacturing: A review. *Progress in Materials Science*, 83, 630-663. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2016.08.001> [CrossRef]
- [100]. Korner, C. (2016). Additive manufacturing of metallic components by selective electron beam melting- a review. *International Materials Reviews*, 61(5), 361-377. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09506608.2016.1176289>. [CrossRef]
- [101]. Singh, S., Ramakrishna, S., & Singh, R. (2017). Material issues in additive manufacturing: A review. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, 25, 185-200. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2016.11.006>
- [102]. Wang, T., Shukla, S., Gwalani, B., Sinha, S., Thapliyal, S., Frank, M., & Mishra, R. S. (2021). Co-introduction of precipitate hardening and TRIP in a TWIP high-entropy alloy using friction stir alloying. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 1579. [CrossRef]
- [103]. Kok, Y., Tan, X. P., Wang, P., Nai, M. L. S., Loh, N. H., Liu, E., & Tor, S. B. (2018). Anisotropy and heterogeneity of microstructure and mechanical properties in metal additive manufacturing: A critical review. *Materials & Design*, 139, 565-586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2017.11.021> [CrossRef]
- [104]. Yu, H. Z., & Mishra, R. S. (2021). Additive friction stir deposition: a deformation processing route to metal additive manufacturing. *Materials Research Letters*, 9(2), 71-83. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21663831.2020.1847211>
- [105]. Du Plessis, A., Yadroitsava, I., & Yadroitsev, I. (2020). Effects of defects on mechanical properties in metal additive manufacturing: A review focusing on X-ray tomography insights. *Materials & Design*, 187, 108385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2019.108385>
- [106]. Honnige, J. R., Colegrove, P. A., Ganguly, S., Eimer, E., Kabra, S., & Williams, S. (2018). Control of residual stress and distortion in aluminium wire+ arc additive manufacture with rolling. *Additive Manufacturing*, 22, 775-783. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.06.015> [CrossRef]
- [107]. Li, Y., Li, X., He, C., Li, Y., Wen, K., Yan, L., ... & Xiong, B. (2024). A Review of Solid-State Additive Manufacturing: Method, Microstructural Evolution, Mechanical Properties, Applications and Challenges. DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.1008539 [CrossRef]
- [108]. Dong, B., Cai, X., Xia, Y., Lin, S., Fan, C., & Chen, F. (2021). Effects of interlayer temperature on the microstructures of wire arc additive manufactured Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy: insights into texture responses and dynamic precipitation behaviors. *Additive Manufacturing*, 48, 102453. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2021.102453> [CrossRef]
- [109]. Dong, B., Cai, X., Xia, Y., Lin, S., Fan, C., & Chen, F. (2021). Effects of interlayer temperature on the microstructures of wire arc additive manufactured Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy: insights into texture responses and dynamic precipitation behaviors. *Additive Manufacturing*, 48, 102453. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2021.102453> [CrossRef]
- [110]. John Samuel Dilip, J., Kalid Rafi, H., & Janaki Ram, G. D. (2011). A new additive manufacturing process based on friction deposition. *Transactions of the Indian Institute of Metals*, 64(1), 27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12666-011-0005-9> [CrossRef]

- [111]. Hauser, T., Reisch, R. T., Breese, P. P., Lutz, B. S., Pantano, M., Nalam, Y., ... & Kaplan, A. F. (2021). Porosity in wire arc additive manufacturing of aluminium alloys. *Additive manufacturing*, 41, 101993. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2021.101993>
- [112]. Zhang, C., Gao, M., & Zeng, X. (2019). Workpiece vibration augmented wire arc additive manufacturing of high strength aluminum alloy. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 271, 85-92. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2019.03.028
- [113]. Altıparmak, S. C., Yardley, V. A., Shi, Z., & Lin, J. (2021). Challenges in additive manufacturing of high-strength aluminium alloys and current developments in hybrid additive manufacturing. *International Journal of Lightweight Materials and Manufacture*, 4(2), 246-261. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijlmm.2020.12.004 [CrossRef]
- [114]. Madhuri, N., Jayakumar, V., & Sathishkumar, M. (2021). Recent developments and challenges accompanying with wire arc additive manufacturing of Mg alloys: A review. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 46, 8573-8577. DOI: 10.1016/j.matpr.2021.03.548
- [115]. Srivastava, M., Rathee, S., Maheshwari, S., Noor Siddiquee, A., & Kundra, T. K. (2019). A review on recent progress in solid state friction based metal additive manufacturing: friction stir additive techniques. *Critical Reviews in Solid State and Materials Sciences*, 44(5), 345-377. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408436.2018.1490250>
- [116]. Achillas, C., Tzetzis, D., & Raimondo, M. O. (2017). Alternative production strategies based on the comparison of additive and traditional manufacturing technologies. *International Journal of Production Research*, 55(12), 3497-3509. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2017.1282645> [CrossRef]
- [117]. Palanivel, S., Nelaturu, P., Glass, B., & Mishra, R. S. (2015). Friction stir additive manufacturing for high structural performance through microstructural control in an Mg based WE43 alloy. *Materials & Design* (1980-2015), 65, 934-952. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2014.09.082>
- [118]. Ruan, J., Sparks, T. E., Fan, Z., Stroble, J. K., Panackal, A., & Liou, F. (2006). A review of layer based manufacturing processes for metals. <http://dx.doi.org/10.26153/tsw/7133>
- [119]. Safronov, V. A., Khmyrov, R. S., Kotoban, D. V., & Gusarov, A. V. (2017). Distortions and residual stresses at layer-by-layer additive manufacturing by fusion. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 139(3), 031017. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4034714>
- [120]. Sames, W. J., List, F. A., Pannala, S., Dehoff, R. R., & Babu, S. S. (2016). The metallurgy and processing science of metal additive manufacturing. *International materials reviews*, 61(5), 315-360. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09506608.2015.1116649> [CrossRef]
- [121]. Aravinda, T., Niranjana, H. B., Babu, B. S., & Ravi, M. U. (2021). Solid state diffusion bonding process-a review. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 1013, No. 1, p. 012011). IOP Publishing. doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1013/1/012011
- [122]. Balasubramanian, V., Fernandus, M. J., & Senthilkumar, T. (2013). Development of processing windows for diffusion bonding of aluminium/magnesium dissimilar materials. *Welding in the World*, 57(4), 523-539. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40194-013-0048-0>
- [123]. Jafarian, M., Rizi, M. S., Jafarian, M., Honarmand, M., Javadinejad, H. R., Ghaheri, A., ... & Ebrahimian, M. (2016). Effect of thermal tempering on microstructure and mechanical properties of Mg-AZ31/Al-6061 diffusion bonding. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 666, 372-379. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2016.04.011>
- [124]. Balasubramanian, V., Fernandus, M. J., & Senthilkumar, T. (2013). Development of processing windows for diffusion bonding of aluminium/magnesium dissimilar materials.

- Welding in the World, 57(4), 523-539. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40194-013-0048-0>.
- [125]. Shirzadi, A. A., Zhang, C., Mughal, M. Z., & Xia, P. (2022). Challenges and Latest Developments in Diffusion Bonding of High-Magnesium Aluminium Alloy (Al-5056/Al-5A06) to Stainless Steels. *Metals* 2022, 12, 1193. <https://doi.org/10.3390/met12071193>
- [126]. Weston, N. S., & Jackson, M. (2020). FAST-forge of titanium alloy swarf: a solid-state closed-loop recycling approach for aerospace machining waste. *Metals*, 10(2), 296. doi: 10.3390/met10020296.
- [127]. Prashanth, K. G., & Wang, Z. (2020). Additive manufacturing: Alloy design and process innovations. *Materials*, 13(3), 542. doi: 10.3390/ma13030542.
- [128]. Calignano, F. (2020). Additive manufacturing (AM) of metallic alloys. *Crystals*, 10(8), 704. DOI: 10.3390/cryst10080704.
- [129]. Griffiths, R. J., Petersen, D. T., Garcia, D., & Yu, H. Z. (2019). Additive friction stir-enabled solid-state additive manufacturing for the repair of 7075 aluminum alloy. *Applied Sciences*, 9(17), 3486. doi: 10.3390/app9173486.
- [130]. Tekalur, A., Kallivayalil, J., Carroll, J., Killian, M., Schultheis, B., Chaudhary, A., ... & Moser, R. D. (2019). Additive Manufacturing of Metallic Materials with Controlled Microstructures: Multiscale Modeling of Direct Metal Laser Sintering and Directed Energy Deposition (No. ERDCTR199). doi: 10.21079/11681/33481.
- [131]. Zhu, Z., Hu, Z., Seet, H. L., Liu, T., Liao, W., Ramamurty, U., & Nai, S. M. L. (2023). Recent progress on the additive manufacturing of aluminum alloys and aluminum matrix composites: Microstructure, properties, and applications. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 190, 104047. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2023.104047>
- [132]. Popov, V. V., & Fleisher, A. (2020). Hybrid additive manufacturing of steels and alloys. *Manufacturing Review*, 7, 6. doi: 10.1051/mfreview/2020005
- [133]. Karayel, E., & Bozkurt, Y. (2020). Additive manufacturing method and different welding applications. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 9(5), 11424-11438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2020.08.039>
- [134]. Prabhakar, D. A. P., Shettigar, A. K., Herbert, M. A., GC, M. P., Pimenov, D. Y., Giasin, K., & Prakash, C. (2022). A comprehensive review of friction stir techniques in structural materials and alloys: challenges and trends. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 20, 3025-3060. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2022.08.034>
- [135]. Gao, H., & Li, H. (2021). Friction additive manufacturing technology: A state-of-the-art survey. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 13(7), 16878140211034431. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16878140211034431>
- [136]. Venkit, H., & Selvaraj, S. K. (2022). Review on latest trends in friction-based additive manufacturing techniques. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, 236(18), 10090-10121. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09544062221101754>
- [137]. Mishra, R. S., Haridas, R. S., & Agrawal, P. (2022). Friction stir-based additive manufacturing. *Science and Technology of Welding and Joining*, 27(3), 141-165. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13621718.2022.2027663>
- [138]. Gharavi, F., Matori, K. A., Yunus, R., Othman, N. K., & Fadaeifard, F. (2016). Corrosion evaluation of friction stir welded lap joints of AA6061-T6 aluminum alloy. *Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China*, 26(3), 684-696. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326\(16\)64159-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326(16)64159-6)
- [139]. Padhy, G. K., Wu, C. S., & Gao, S. (2018). Friction stir based welding and processing technologies-processes, parameters, microstructures and applications: A review. *Journal of Materials Science & Technology*,

- 34(1), 1-38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmst.2017.11.029>
- [140]. Di Bella, G., Favaloro, F., & Borsellino, C. (2023). Effect of process parameters on friction stir welded joints between dissimilar aluminum alloys: a review. *Metals*, 13(7), 1176. <https://doi.org/10.3390/met13071176>
- [141]. Baumann, J. A. (2012). Production of energy efficient preform structures (PEEPS) (No. Final Technical Report-Production of Energy Efficient Preform Structures (PEEPS)). The Boeing Company. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1042703>
- [142]. Palanivel, S., & Mishra, R. S. (2017). Building without melting: a short review of friction-based additive manufacturing techniques. *International Journal of Additive and Subtractive Materials Manufacturing*, 1(1), 82-103. DOI: 10.1504/IJASMM.2017.10003956
- [143]. White, D. (2002). U.S. Patent No. 6,457,629. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- [144]. Thomas, W. M., Norris, I. M., Staines, D. G., & Watts, E. (2000). Friction Stir Welding-Process Developments and Variant Techniques. Oconomowoc, MI, USA: Society of Manufacturing Engineers.
- [145]. Lequeu, P., Smith, K. P., & Daniélou, A. (2010). Aluminum-copper-lithium alloy 2050 developed for medium to thick plate. *Journal of materials engineering and performance*, 19(6), 841-847. DOI: 10.1007/s11665-009-9554-z
- [146]. Shah, D., & Badheka, V. J. (2021). Friction stir additive manufacturing—A review. *Recent Advances in Mechanical Infrastructure: Proceedings of ICRAM 2020*, 13-36. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-4176-0_2
- [147]. Liu, M., Wang, B. B., An, X. H., Xue, P., Liu, F. C., Wu, L. H., ... & Ma, Z. Y. (2022). Friction stir additive manufacturing enabling scale-up of ultrafine-grained pure copper with superior mechanical properties. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 857, 144088. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2022.144088>
- [148]. Srivastava, A. K., Kumar, N., & Dixit, A. R. (2021). Friction stir additive manufacturing—An innovative tool to enhance mechanical and microstructural properties. *Materials Science and Engineering: B*, 263, 114832. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2020.114832>
- [149]. Yuqing, M., Liming, K., Chunping, H., Fencheng, L., & Qiang, L. (2016). Formation characteristic, microstructure, and mechanical performances of aluminum-based components by friction stir additive manufacturing. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 83(9), 1637-1647. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-015-7695-9>
- [150]. Li, Y., He, C., Wei, J., Zhang, Z., Tian, N., Qin, G., & Zhao, X. (2022). Restirring and reheating effects on microstructural evolution of Al–Zn–Mg–Cu alloy during underwater friction stir additive manufacturing. *Materials*, 15(11), 3804. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15113804>
- [151]. Li, Y., Li, X., He, C., Wen, K., Li, Y., Yan, L., ... & Xiong, B. (2024, October). Effects of processing parameters on microstructure and mechanical properties of friction stir additive manufactured Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 2873, No. 1, p. 012005). IOP Publishing. DOI 10.1088/1742-6596/2873/1/012005
- [152]. Dixit, A. R., Srivastava, A. K., Dwivedi, S., Nag, A., & Hloch, S. (2023). An investigation on microstructural features and bonding strength of magnesium-based multifunctional laminated composite developed by friction stir additive manufacturing. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 128(1), 531-546. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-023-11911-2>
- [153]. Baisukhan, A., & Nakkiew, W. (2024). Enhancing surface integrity in friction stir welding through deep rolling and post-weld heat treatment. *Journal of Advanced Joining*

- Processes, 9, 100223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2024.100223>
- [154]. Pimenov, D. Y., Kiran, M., Khanna, N., Pintaude, G., Vasco, M. C., da Silva, L. R. R., & Giasin, K. (2023). Review of improvement of machinability and surface integrity in machining on aluminum alloys. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 129(11), 4743-4779. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-023-12630-4>
- [155]. Khodabakhshi, F., & Gerlich, A. P. (2018). Potentials and strategies of solid-state additive friction-stir manufacturing technology: A critical review. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, 36, 77-92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2018.09.030>
- [156]. Hofmann, D. C., Roberts, S., Otis, R., Kolodziejska, J., Dillon, R. P., Suh, J. O., ... & Borgonia, J. P. (2024). Developing gradient metal alloys through radial deposition additive manufacturing. In *Zentropy* (pp. 661-683). Jenny Stanford Publishing. [CrossRef]
- [157]. Kundurti, S. C., & Sharma, A. (2023). Evaluation of microstructural, mechanical and corrosion behaviours of laminated AA6061/AA7075 metal matrix composites build by friction stir additive manufacturing for structural applications. *Materials Research*, 26, e20230176. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-5373-MR-2023-0176>
- [158]. Hassan, A., Pedapati, S. R., Awang, M., & Soomro, I. A. (2023). A comprehensive review of friction stir additive manufacturing (FSAM) of non-ferrous alloys. *Materials*, 16(7), 2723. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16072723>
- [159]. Lewandowski, J. J., & Seifi, M. (2016). Metal additive manufacturing: a review of mechanical properties. *Annual review of materials research*, 46(1), 151-186. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-matsci-070115-032024>
- [160]. Shirazi, H., Kheirandish, S. H., & Safarkhanian, M. A. (2015). Effect of process parameters on the macrostructure and defect formation in friction stir lap welding of AA5456 aluminum alloy. *Measurement*, 76, 62-69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2015.08.001>
- [161]. Palanivel, S., Sidhar, H., & Mishra, R. S. (2015). Friction stir additive manufacturing: route to high structural performance. *Jom*, 67(3), 616-621. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11837-014-1271-x>
- [162]. Yan, S., Chen, L., Yob, A., Renshaw, D., Yang, K., Givord, M., & Liang, D. (2022). Multifunctional metal matrix composites by friction stir additive manufacturing. *Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance*, 31(8), 6183-6195. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-022-07114-7>
- [163]. Serrano, A. E. (2024). Advancing Hybrid Manufacturing: Benefits and Challenges of Multi-Material Processes and Applications (Master's thesis, California State University, Long Beach).
- [164]. Mehta, K. P., Carlone, P., Astarita, A., Scherillo, F., Rubino, F., & Vora, P. (2019). Conventional and cooling assisted friction stir welding of AA6061 and AZ31B alloys. *Materials science and engineering: A*, 759, 252-261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2019.04.120>
- [165]. Weflen, E., & Frank, M. C. (2021). Hybrid additive and subtractive manufacturing of multi-material objects. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 27(10), 1860-1871. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-06-2020-0142> [CrossRef]
- [166]. Karvinen, H., Mehta, K. P., & Vilaca, P. (2023). Novel manufacturing of multi-material component by hybrid friction stir channeling. *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, 45, 271-284. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirpj.2023.07.001>
- [167]. Bergh, T., Fyhn, H., Sandnes, L., Blindheim, J., Grong, o., Holmestad, R., Berto, F. & Vullum, P. E. (2023). Multi-material joining of an aluminum alloy to copper, steel, and titanium by Hybrid Metal Extrusion & Bonding. *Metallurgical and Materials*

- Transactions A, 54(7), 2689-2702. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11661-023-07047-3>
- [168]. Hassan, A., Awang, M., Pedapati, S. R., Altaf, K., Marode, R. V., & Ahmed, S. W. (2023). Experimental investigation on tool pin profile for defect-free multi-layered laminates using friction stir additive manufacturing. Results in Engineering, 20, 101516. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2023.101516>
- [169]. Zhao, Z., Yang, X., Li, S., & Li, D. (2019). Interfacial bonding features of friction stir additive manufactured build for 2195-T8 aluminum-lithium alloy. Journal of Manufacturing Processes, 38, 396-410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2019.01.042> [CrossRef]
- [170]. Zhang, Z., Tan, Z. J., Li, J. Y., Zu, Y. F., Liu, W. W., & Sha, J. J. (2019). Experimental and numerical studies of re-stirring and re-heating effects on mechanical properties in friction stir additive manufacturing. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 104(1), 767-784. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-019-03917-6>
- [171]. Srivastava, M., & Rathee, S. (2021). Microstructural and microhardness study on fabrication of Al 5059/SiC composite component via a novel route of friction stir additive manufacturing. Materials Today: Proceedings, 39, 1775-1780. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.07.137> [CrossRef]
- [172]. Shen, Z., Chen, S., Cui, L., Li, D., Liu, X., Hou, W., ... & Li, W. Y. (2022). Local microstructure evolution and mechanical performance of friction stir additive manufactured 2195 Al-Li alloy. Materials Characterization, 186, 111818. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2022.111818> [CrossRef]
- [173]. Lu, I. K., & Reynolds, A. P. (2021). Innovative friction stir additive manufacturing of cast 2050 Al-Cu-Li aluminum alloy. Progress in Additive Manufacturing, 6(3), 471-477. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40964-021-00175-5> [CrossRef]
- [174]. Zhang, Z., Tan, Z. J., Li, J. Y., Zu, Y. F., & Sha, J. J. (2020). Integrated modeling of process-microstructure-property relations in friction stir additive manufacturing. Acta Metallurgica Sinica (English Letters), 33(1), 75-87. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40195-019-00945-9>
- [175]. Wlodarski, S., Avery, D. Z., White, B. C., Mason, C. J. T., Cleek, C., Williams, M. B., Allison, P. G., & Jordon, J. B. (2021). Evaluation of grain refinement and mechanical properties of additive friction stir layer welding of AZ31 magnesium alloy. Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance, 30(2), 964-972. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-020-05394-5>
- [176]. Ho, Y. H., Man, K., Joshi, S. S., Pantawane, M. V., Wu, T. C., Yang, Y., & Dahotre, N. B. (2020). In-vitro biomineralization and biocompatibility of friction stir additively manufactured AZ31B magnesium alloy-hydroxyapatite composites. Bioactive materials, 5(4), 891-901. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioactmat.2020.06.009>
- [177]. Ho, Y. H., Joshi, S. S., Wu, T. C., Hung, C. M., Ho, N. J., & Dahotre, N. B. (2020). In-vitro bio-corrosion behavior of friction stir additively manufactured AZ31B magnesium alloy-hydroxyapatite composites. Materials Science and Engineering: C, 109, 110632. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2020.110632>
- [178]. Kumar, S., & Srivastava, A. K. (2021). Mechanical Properties of Al-Cu-Mg Taylor-made functionally graded layers by Friction Stir Additive Manufacturing. Int. J. Adv. Res. Innov. Ideas Educ, 7, 1652-1659. [CrossRef]
- [179]. Jha, K. K., Kesharwani, R., & Imam, M. (2022). Microstructural and micro-hardness study on the fabricated Al 5083-O/6061-T6/7075-T6 gradient composite component via a novel route of friction stir additive manufacturing. Materials Today: Proceedings, 56, 819-825. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.02.262> [CrossRef]

- [180]. Derazkola, H. A., Khodabakhshi, F., & Simchi, A. (2020). Evaluation of a polymer-steel laminated sheet composite structure produced by friction stir additive manufacturing (FSAM) technology. *Polymer Testing*, 90, 106690. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2020.106690> [CrossRef]
- [181]. Derazkola, H. A., MohammadiAbokheili, R., Kordani, N., Garcia, E., & Murillo-Marrodán, A. (2022). Evaluation of nanocomposite structure printed by solid-state additive manufacturing. *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, 37, 174-184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirpj.2022.01.006> [CrossRef]
- [182]. Venkit, H., & Selvaraj, S. K. (2022). Novel technique for design and manufacture of alternating gradient composite structure of aluminum alloys using solid state additive manufacturing technique. *Materials*, 15(20), 7369. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15207369>
- [183]. Roodgari, M. R., Jamaati, R., & Aval, H. J. (2020). Fabrication of a 2-layer laminated steel composite by friction stir additive manufacturing. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, 51, 110-121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2020.01.031> [CrossRef]
- [184]. Tan, Z., Li, J., & Zhang, Z. (2021). Experimental and numerical studies on fabrication of nanoparticle reinforced aluminum matrix composites by friction stir additive manufacturing. *Journal of materials research and technology*, 12, 1898-1912. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2021.04.004>
- [185]. Mishra, R. S., Haridas, R. S., & Agrawal, P. (2022). Friction stir-based additive manufacturing. *Science and Technology of Welding and Joining*, 27(3), 141-165. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13621718.2022.2027663>
- [186]. Sigl, M. E., Danninger, P., Bernauer, C., Hartl, R., & Zaeh, M. F. (2022). Efficient build-up of high-strength aluminum structures using friction stir additive manufacturing. *Key Engineering Materials*, 926, 176-186. <https://doi.org/10.4028/p-6p7o75>
- [187]. Fuller, C. B. (2007). *Friction Stir Welding and Processing-Chapter 2 Friction Stir Tooling: Tool Materials and Designs*. Friction Stir Welding and Processing, Edited par RS Mishra et MW Mahoney, ISBN-13, 978-0. [CrossRef]
- [188]. Khaliq, U. A., Muhamad, M. R., Yusof, F., Ibrahim, S., Isa, M. S. M., Chen, Z., & Çam, G. (2023). A review on friction stir butt welding of aluminum with magnesium: a new insight on joining mechanisms by interfacial enhancement. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 27, 4595-4624. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2023.10.158>
- [189]. Abnar, B., Gashtiazar, S., & Javidani, M. (2023). Friction stir welding of non-heat treatable Al alloys: challenges and improvements opportunities. *Crystals*, 13(4), 576. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cryst13040576>
- [190]. Ahmed, M. M., El-Sayed Seleman, M. M., Fydrych, D., & Cam, G. (2023). Friction stir welding of aluminum in the aerospace industry: the current progress and state-of-the-art review. *Materials*, 16(8), 2971. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16082971>
- [191]. Liu, L., Ren, D., & Liu, F. (2014). A review of dissimilar welding techniques for magnesium alloys to aluminum alloys. *Materials*, 7(5), 3735-3757. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma7053735>
- [192]. Paradiso, V., Rubino, F., Carlone, P., & Palazzo, G. S. (2017). Magnesium and aluminium alloys dissimilar joining by friction stir welding. *Procedia Engineering*, 183, 239-244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.04.028>
- [193]. Yong, Y. A. N., Zhang, D. T., Cheng, Q. I. U., & Zhang, W. (2010). Dissimilar friction stir welding between 5052 aluminum alloy and AZ31 magnesium alloy. *Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China*, 20, s619-s623. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326\(10\)60550-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326(10)60550-X)

- [194]. Mehdi, H., Jain, S., Msomi, V., Mabuwa, S., & Malla, C. (2024). Effect of intermetallic compounds on mechanical and microstructural properties of dissimilar alloys Al-7Si/AZ91D. *Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance*, 33(10), 4781-4793. 10.1007/s11665-023-08302-9
- [195]. Verma, S., Kumar, V., Kumar, R., & Sidhu, R. S. (2022). Exploring the application domain of friction stir welding in aluminum and other alloys. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 50, 1032-1042. [https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.07.449](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.07.449)
- [196]. Yamamoto, N., Liao, J., Watanabe, S., & Nakata, K. (2009). Effect of intermetallic compound layer on tensile strength of dissimilar friction-stir weld of a high strength Mg alloy and Al alloy. *Materials transactions*, 50(12), 2833-2838. [https:// doi.org/10.2320/matertrans.M2009289](https://doi.org/10.2320/matertrans.M2009289)
- [197]. Nagaraja, S., Anand, P. B., Mariswamy, M., Alkahtani, M. Q., Islam, S., Khan, M. A., Khan, W. A. & Bhutto, J. K. (2024). Friction stir welding of dissimilar Al–Mg alloys for aerospace applications: Prospects and future potential. *Reviews on advanced materials science*, 63(1), 20240033. [https:// doi.org/10.1515/rams-2024-0033](https://doi.org/10.1515/rams-2024-0033)
- [198]. Zhang, C., Cao, Y., Huang, G., Zeng, Q., Zhu, Y., Huang, X., Li, N., & Liu, Q. (2020). Influence of tool rotational speed on local microstructure, mechanical and corrosion behavior of dissimilar AA2024/7075 joints fabricated by friction stir welding. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, 49, 214-226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2019.11.031>
- [199]. Kah, P., Suoranta, R., Martikainen, J., & Magnus, C. (2014). Techniques for Joining Dissimilar Materials: Metals And Polymers. *Reviews on Advanced Materials Science*, 36(2).
- [200]. Mehta, K. P., & Badheka, V. J. (2016). A review on dissimilar friction stir welding of copper to aluminum: process, properties, and variants. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 31(3), 233-254. [https:// doi.org/10.1080/10426914.2015.1025971](https://doi.org/10.1080/10426914.2015.1025971).